

For Immediate Release
June 17, 2014

Contact: Katie Moyer
katie.moyer@nctq.org
202-393-0020 x112

Push for Quality Faces Uphill Climb for Florida's Teacher Preparation Programs

National Council on Teacher Quality Releases Second Annual Review of Teacher Preparation Programs

No Florida Program Earns 'Top Ranked' Status

Washington, DC—The National Council on Teacher Quality (NCTQ) released its [2014 Teacher Prep Review](#), with a much expanded and more comprehensive evaluation of 1,612 teacher preparation programs across the United States, and for the first time, a numeric ranking of programs. No program in Florida earned national 'Top Ranked' status—a distinction awarded to 107 programs in the nation for overall strong performance—leaving Florida State University with the highest ranked elementary program and University of Central Florida with the highest ranked secondary program in the state.

The positive news for Florida is that among the 49 programs that were fully evaluated, 10 elementary and 15 secondary programs were strong enough to receive a national ranking. Twenty-four programs in Florida did not receive a numeric rank because their performance was in the bottom half of the national sample.

Florida is one of 17 states and the District of Columbia without a single program making the national 'Top Ranked' list. To ensure that all teachers are well prepared, state leaders and local school districts need to demand that programs improve and, if necessary, look across their state lines for the best sources of well-trained teachers. Districts are also advised to dig deeper into the NCTQ findings to identify programs which may not do well overall but do well on particular standards to meet specific district needs, such as teachers with strong preparation in reading instruction.

“Given the high demand for teachers in such a highly populated state as Florida, it is indeed disappointing that we could not identify an exemplary program in the state. However, Florida is by no means unique,” noted Kate Walsh, President of the National Council on Teacher Quality. “The dearth of high-quality programs is a national problem that public school educators, state policymakers and advocates, working alongside higher education, must solve together.”

NCTQ fully evaluated 49 undergraduate and graduate programs housed in 29 Florida institutions—29 percent more than in 2013. In addition to elementary and secondary programs, NCTQ examined three special education programs in the state.

Teacher Prep Review Results for Florida

Highest ranked elementary programs (national rank):

- Florida State University – Undergraduate (97)
- Flagler College – Undergraduate (101)
- Florida State University – Graduate (107)
- Florida Gulf Coast University – Undergraduate (144)
- University of Florida – Graduate (165)

Highest ranked secondary programs (national rank):

- University of Central Florida – Undergraduate (193)
- Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University – Undergraduate (247)
- Daytona State College – Undergraduate (285)
- Florida State University – Undergraduate (293)
- Florida State University – Graduate (293)

A [complete list of Florida rankings](#) is available on the NCTQ website.

There were 4 institutions, all private but housing publicly-approved teacher preparation programs, which NCTQ was unable to evaluate. These institutions declined NCTQ's invitation to participate and did not turn over course materials for the *Teacher Prep Review*. Nevertheless, the *Review* does provide some limited findings on these programs, including whether they are adequately selective about who is admitted to the program and the quality of content preparation they provide. A [complete list of non-cooperating institutions](#) is available online.

NCTQ's review of teacher preparation programs focuses on the knowledge, skills, and academic attributes new teachers need to be classroom ready when they graduate. Drawing from a set of 18 standards, NCTQ applies the relevant standards to elementary, secondary or special education programs. Findings for Florida include:

Selectivity: 20 percent of programs in Florida fully meet this standard, similar to the national average of 22 percent. These 12 programs select candidates above the 50th percentile in the population of college-attending high school graduates, a relatively modest bar compared to what other high-performing nations require.

Early reading instruction: 54 percent of evaluated elementary programs in Florida meet or nearly meet this standard by preparing teacher candidates in effective, scientifically-based reading instruction, compared to 34 percent of programs nationally.

Student teaching: No programs in Florida were found to ensure a high-quality student teaching experience, in which candidates are assigned only to highly skilled teachers and receive frequent concrete feedback. 5 percent of programs nationally require such an experience.

Classroom management: 5 Florida programs (17 percent) fully meet the standard by providing feedback to teacher candidates on specific classroom management strategies to improve classroom behavior. This is similar to the national average of 15 percent.

Elementary content preparation: Only the graduate program at the University of Florida was found to nearly meet this standard. Nationally, 11 percent of all elementary programs either meet or nearly meet this standard.

Secondary content preparation: The results were better for subject matter preparation of secondary teacher candidates, with 37 percent of programs fully meeting the standard, compared to the national average of 35 percent.

In addition to analyzing colleges and universities providing traditional teacher preparation, NCTQ [reviewed a sample of secondary alternative certification providers](#) not managed by a university or college. The results for these 85 providers, including four programs in Florida, were even weaker than for traditional programs. NCTQ found their admissions standards to be too low, efforts to assess subject matter knowledge inadequate, and too little training or support provided to candidates who are asked to hit the ground running in the classroom. Only one provider out of 85 earned high marks (Teach For America, Massachusetts).

The widespread attention surrounding the *Review* has helped to precipitate considerable activity by policymakers to strengthen teacher preparation. Over the last two years, 33 states including Florida have made *significant* changes to laws and regulations to improve teacher preparation, and another seven states have taken steps forward. Florida now requires that elementary teachers pass a content test with separate passing scores for each academic subject, and which includes the equivalent of a standalone science of reading test.

In April of this year, the federal government also made an important move to improve teacher preparation by announcing its intention to strengthen accountability measures for teacher preparation programs and restrict millions in grants to only high-performing programs.

“While we are encouraged by the action that has been taken by Florida and other states, we have a lot more work to do to provide future teachers with the world-class training that both they and students deserve,” added Walsh. “We urge policymakers and higher ed leaders to make this issue priority number one so that teachers in this country get the best possible training for the classroom.”

The full [2014 Teacher Prep Review report](#) is available on NCTQ’s website. NCTQ has identified [steps Florida can take to make meaningful improvements to teacher prep](#) and has provided [guidance to districts](#) on how to identify the best trained teachers.

About NCTQ

The National Council on Teacher Quality is a nonprofit, nonpartisan research and policy organization located in Washington DC. Founded in 2000, NCTQ is committed to restructuring the teaching

profession, led by our vision that every child deserves effective teachers. NCTQ is committed to lending transparency and increasing public awareness of the four institutions having the greatest impact on teacher quality: states, teacher preparation programs, school districts, and teachers unions.

Funding for the second edition of the *Review* is provided by 54 foundations, located in 22 states.

###