

January 25, 2012

Contact Sarah Brody  
Phone 202.393.0020 ext. 110  
sbrody@nctq.org

## **NEW REPORT GIVES SOUTH DAKOTA A GRADE OF “D” FOR TEACHER POLICIES**

**While many states have shown dramatic progress, report finds South Dakota has made no real progress on policies to support and measure teacher effectiveness**

Today the National Council on Teacher Quality releases its 2011 *State Teacher Policy Yearbook*, its fifth annual report grading the states based on the rules and regulations that shape teacher quality

January 25, 2012 (Washington, DC)— A new report released today by the not-for-profit, non-partisan National Council on Teacher Quality (NCTQ) finds major advances in teacher policy across the country, largely driven by state adoption of policies for including student achievement as a measure of effectiveness in teacher evaluations and using teacher effectiveness evidence in decisions about teacher tenure and dismissal.

Against this backdrop of dramatic progress in some states, South Dakota is failing to take actions to help ensure an effective teacher in every classroom. South Dakota made very little progress this year on any of the *Yearbook*'s 36 research-based teacher policy goals.

The 2011 *Yearbook* provides a detailed analysis of the policies South Dakota sets for teacher preparation, licensure, evaluation, career advancement, tenure, compensation, pensions and dismissal. NCTQ grades the states on the quality and rigor of these policies; the *Yearbook* is not an evaluation of the quality of teachers in the state.

South Dakota received an overall grade of **D**, based on the following grades:

<b>Teacher Policy Area</b>	<b>Grade</b>
Delivering well-prepared teachers	D
Expanding the pool of teachers	C-
Identifying effective teachers	F
Retaining effective teachers	C+
Exiting ineffective teachers	F

South Dakota's overall grade is unchanged since NCTQ's 2009 *Yearbook*.

NCTQ President Kate Walsh said, "There is little doubt that the past two years have seen major changes in states' teacher policies. Rethinking how teacher performance is evaluated and tying teacher evaluations to student achievement marks an important advance in teacher effectiveness policy. But much work is left to be done by states to design and adopt policies from beginning to end – from entry into the profession to retirement and pensions – to consistently promote teacher quality." She added, "South Dakota's lack of

progress on teacher effectiveness policies is a disappointment, given the important strides NCTQ has seen in other states.”

Key findings about South Dakota’s teacher policy:

- In a new era, when teacher effectiveness in the classroom is becoming a matter of consequence and teacher evaluations will no longer be regarded as simply a formality, South Dakota does not require that all teachers are evaluated annually and the state’s teacher evaluations give no consideration to teacher effectiveness and include no objective measures of student performance.
- South Dakota fails to articulate, either through dismissal or evaluation policy, that ineffectiveness in the classroom is grounds for teacher dismissal. Furthermore, time on the job, rather than teacher effectiveness in the classroom, is the basis for granting teachers tenure or permanent status in South Dakota.
- South Dakota’s standards for conferring teaching licenses are too low. Teacher preparation policies for elementary and secondary teachers are very weak and in need of improvement.
- South Dakota allows a diversity of alternate route providers, but could do a great deal more to improve alternate routes by requiring them to be selective, flexible, streamlined and focused on the immediate needs of new teachers.
- Unlike most states, South Dakota’s pension system for teachers is stable and well funded. The system also includes many provisions that make the state’s pension plan portable, flexible and fair to all teachers.

### **For copies of the Yearbook**

South Dakota’s *Yearbook*, which includes detailed national comparisons, is immediately available for free download at [www.nctq.org/stpy](http://www.nctq.org/stpy). For questions about the report, please contact Sarah Brody at (202) 393-0020 or [sbrody@nctq.org](mailto:sbrody@nctq.org).

### **Funding**

The *State Teacher Policy Yearbook* is funded by private foundations across the United States, including the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Carnegie Corporation of New York, George Gund Foundation, Gleason Family Foundation and the Joyce Foundation.

*NCTQ accepts no funding from the federal government.*

### **About NCTQ**

The National Council on Teacher Quality is a Washington D.C. based non-profit, non-partisan research and advocacy group, founded in 2000, to build the case for a comprehensive reform agenda that would challenge the current structure and regulation of the profession. NCTQ advocates for reforms in a broad range of teacher policies at the federal, state, and local levels in order to increase the number of effective teachers. NCTQ is committed to lending transparency and increasing public awareness about the four sets of institutions that have the greatest impact on teacher quality: states, teacher preparation programs, school districts and teachers unions. For more information, see [www.nctq.org](http://www.nctq.org).

	Overall State Grade 2011	Overall State Grade 2009	Progress Ranking 2009-2011
Florida	B	C	9
Oklahoma	B-	D+	13
Rhode Island	B-	D	5
Tennessee	B-	C-	16
Indiana	C+	D	1
Michigan	C+	D-	3
Ohio	C+	D+	11
Arkansas	C	C-	25
Colorado	C	D+	12
Delaware	C	D	6
Georgia	C	C-	23
Illinois	C	D+	4
Massachusetts	C	D+	13
New York	C	D+	13
Alabama	C-	C-	19
Connecticut	C-	D+	25
Louisiana	C-	C-	20
Minnesota	C-	D-	2
Nevada	C-	D-	7
South Carolina	C-	C-	41
Texas	C-	C-	36
Utah	C-	D	9
Washington	C-	D+	25
Arizona	D+	D+	20
California	D+	D+	51
Idaho	D+	D-	8
Kentucky	D+	D+	41
Maryland	D+	D	17
Mississippi	D+	D+	47
New Jersey	D+	D+	36
New Mexico	D+	D+	39
North Carolina	D+	D+	32
Pennsylvania	D+	D	18
Virginia	D+	D+	41
West Virginia	D+	D+	41
Alaska	D	D	47
District of Columbia	D	D-	25
Iowa	D	D	23
Kansas	D	D-	31
Missouri	D	D	47
North Dakota	D	D-	34
South Dakota	D	D	38
Wisconsin	D	D	41
Wyoming	D	D-	20
Hawaii	D-	D-	32
Maine	D-	F	34
Nebraska	D-	D-	39
New Hampshire	D-	D-	25
Oregon	D-	D-	25
Vermont	D-	F	46
Montana	F	F	47