

Summative ADEPT Formal Evaluation of Classroom-Based Teachers

# **SAFE-T**

# A GUIDE FOR TEACHERS AND EVALUATORS

Issued by the South Carolina Department of Education

Adapted by the
Charleston County School District
Office of Teacher Effectiveness
August 2016

#### Contents

Section 1	Page	
Preface	V	
Introduction to SAFE-T	1	
Application of SAFE-T	1	
SAFE-T Timelines	1	
SAFE-T Teams	2	
SAFE-T Orientation	2	
ADEPT Performance Standards for Classroom-Based Teachers	3	
Required Data Sources and Evidence Documentation	4	
Targeted Course Portfolios	5	
Classroom Observations and Reflections	11	
Professional Performance Reviews and Self-Assessments	14	
Professional Growth and Development Plans	17	
Independent Evaluator Judgments and Consensus Meetings	18	
Summary of SAFE-T Judgments	20	
Conferences and Maintenance of Records		
Responsibilities of the Evaluation Team and Team Chair	22	
SAFE-T At-A-Glance	24	
Glossary	25	

Section 2	age
rmance Standards for Classroom-Based Teachers	
Long-Range Planning	28
Short-Range Planning of Instruction	30
Planning Assessments and Using Data	32
Establishing and Maintaining High Expectations for Learners	_34
Using Instructional Strategies to Facilitate Learning	35
Providing Content for Learners	36
Monitoring, Assessing, and Enhancing Learning	37
Maintaining an Environment That Promotes Learning	39
Managing the Classroom	40
Fulfilling Professional Responsibilities	41
Section 3	
plates	
rgeted Course Portfolio	43
ection on Instruction and Student Learning	48
essional Self-Assessment	50
	rmance Standards for Classroom-Based Teachers  Long-Range Planning Short-Range Planning of Instruction Planning Assessments and Using Data Establishing and Maintaining High Expectations for Learners Using Instructional Strategies to Facilitate Learning Providing Content for Learners  Monitoring, Assessing, and Enhancing Learning Maintaining an Environment That Promotes Learning Managing the Classroom Fulfilling Professional Responsibilities  Section 3  plates  rgeted Course Portfolio ection on Instruction and Student Learning

#### Section 4

#### **Evaluator Templates**

ET1: Classroom Observation Record	51
ET2: Professional Performance Review	54
ET3: SAFE-T Summary	55
ET4: SAFE-T Log	69

#### **Preface**

The Summative ADEPT Formal Evaluation of Teachers (SAFE-T) is one of the major components of South Carolina's system for Assisting, Developing, and Evaluating Professional Teaching (ADEPT). As its name implies, the ADEPT system addresses teacher performance through three primary processes: assisting, developing, and evaluating. Since these processes are interrelated, all of them occur throughout each phase of a teacher's career continuum. However, the emphasis placed on each process differs according to the needs and the career stage of the particular teacher.

Although much of the ADEPT system focuses on capacity-building and continuous improvement (i.e., assisting and developing), the formal evaluation stage signals a dramatic shift in purpose. It is at this point that high-stakes, consequential decisions are made on the basis of teaching performance. To understand the importance of maintaining the integrity of the ADEPT formal evaluation process, consider the implications:

- Educators are required to successfully complete an ADEPT formal evaluation at the annual contract level in order to (1) advance to a professional teaching certificate and (2) be eligible for employment at the continuing-contract level.
- Educators who fail two ADEPT formal evaluations while employed at the annual-contract level must have their teaching certificates suspended for a minimum of two years. These individuals must also complete a remediation plan developed by the South Carolina Department of Education before becoming eligible for certificate reinstatement.
- Educators' ADEPT formal evaluation results from their school districts are reported back to the respective institutions of higher education (IHEs) in South Carolina from which the educators received their teacher training. These evaluation results are used to rate IHEs and are reflected on each institution's Title II (IHE) report card.

Given appropriate preparation and support during the previous stages, most teachers are successful in achieving the high level of performance defined by the ADEPT standards. Nonetheless, the formal evaluation process is an important part of quality assurance.

#### Introduction to SAFE-T

As part of the ADEPT system, SAFE-T is South Carolina's primary formal evaluation model for classroom-based teachers. SAFE-T is *not* intended to be used to evaluate special area personnel, including library media specialists, school guidance counselors, and speech-language therapists.

#### **Application of SAFE-T**

In order to qualify for advancement to a professional teaching certificate, teachers must successfully complete an ADEPT formal evaluation at the annual-contract level. The following requirements apply to the use of SAFE-T for this purpose:

- Teachers must be evaluated in an area or areas in which they are *fully* certified at the time of the evaluation.
- Teachers must be employed at least half-time (i.e., FTE = >0.5) at the annualcontract level at the time they are evaluated in order to qualify for certificate advancement.

#### **SAFE-T Timelines**

SAFE-T must cover a minimum of 90 working days over the course of the academic year and must consist of two evaluation periods: a **preliminary evaluation period** and a **final evaluation period**. Each evaluation period must include the following procedures: (1) the collection of all required data, (2) the compilation of the dossier, (3) the independent reviews of the dossier by each evaluator, (4) the evaluators' consensus meeting, and (5) the conference with the teacher. The preliminary and final evaluation periods should be roughly equal in length, with each evaluation period covering approximately 45 working days.

The preliminary (i.e., first) evaluation period must begin on or after the date that the teacher orientation is held. The entire preliminary evaluation period must occur during the first semester of the school year. The preliminary evaluation results are intended to serve as a "progress report" to the teacher. Additionally, the members of the evaluation team use the preliminary evaluation results to help determine data collection requirements for the final evaluation period.

At least a portion of the final evaluation period must occur during the second semester of the school year. The final evaluation period must end prior to the dates that contracts are issued annually (i.e., May 1).

Each school district must include the dates of the formal evaluation period in its annual ADEPT plan. All evaluators, as well as teachers who are scheduled to undergo formal evaluation, must be informed in advance of these dates.

#### SAFE-T Teams

An evaluation team must be appointed for each teacher who is scheduled for formal evaluation. Each member of the evaluation team must have met all SAFE-T evaluator training requirements. One member of the evaluation team must be designated to serve as the chair.

Each SAFE-T evaluation team must consist of a minimum of two members. One evaluator must be a school- or district-level administrator or supervisor, and at least one evaluator must possess a knowledge of the content being taught by the teacher who is being formally evaluated.

For any teacher whose preliminary overall judgment is *not met*, a third team member may be added to the evaluation team during the final evaluation period.

A minimum of three evaluators is *required* for teachers who are scheduled to undergo a **highly consequential formal evaluation**. A highly consequential formal evaluation applies to teachers who fall into any of the following categories: (1) any teacher who was not successful on his or her "annual-formal 1" evaluation and who is now undergoing an "annual-formal 2" evaluation, (2) any continuing-contract teacher who was recommended by his or her principal for formal evaluation, (3) any continuing-contract teacher who was not successful on his or her formal evaluation the previous year, or (4) any teacher who is returning to the field following an ADEPT-related certificate suspension and is being given one final opportunity to successfully complete a formal evaluation,

For any **highly consequential formal evaluation**, integral classroom observations that are made as part of the data-collection process must be conducted by all three evaluators. Evaluators must also review the teacher's dossier, participate in the team consensus meetings, and collaborate in preparing the consensus reports.

#### **SAFE-T Orientation**

The school district must ensure that all classroom-based teachers scheduled for formal evaluation receive a *comprehensive* orientation to SAFE-T prior to the beginning of the evaluation process. The orientation may be presented to the teachers in a group setting or on an individual basis. The orientation must be presented by one or more certified SAFE-T evaluators or trainers and must include, at a minimum, both written and oral explanations of the ADEPT Performance Standards, the SAFE-T process, the evaluation timeline or calendar, the criteria for successfully completing the evaluation, and the intended use of the evaluation results.

Additionally, prior to the beginning of the preliminary evaluation period, each teacher must be informed of the names of the members of his or her evaluation team.

# **ADEPT Performance Standards For Classroom-Based Teachers**

The ten ADEPT Performance Standards (APSs) for classroom-based teachers can be grouped into four broad categories, or domains, as follows:

#### **Domain 1: Planning**

APS 1	Long-Range Planning
APS 2	Short-Range Planning of Instruction

APS 3 Planning Assessments and Using Data

#### Domain 2: Instruction

APS 4	Establishing and Maintaining High Expectations for Learners
APS 5	Using Instructional Strategies to Facilitate Learning
APS 6	Providing Content for Learners
APS 7	Monitoring, Assessing, and Enhancing Learning

#### **Domain 3: Classroom Environment**

APS 8	Maintaining an Environment That Promotes Learning
-------	---

APS 9 Managing the Classroom

#### Domain 4: Professionalism

APS 10 Fulfilling Professional Responsibilities

Each of the APSs contains a set of *key elements*—the critical components of the standard. The key elements are essential to the standards; however, the descriptions and examples that follow each of the key elements are included for illustrative purposes only and are *not* to be considered all-inclusive, universal, or absolute indicators. For example, in Domain 1 (Planning), APS 1 (Long-Range Planning), the first key element is described as follows:

# 1.A The teacher obtains student information, analyzes this information to determine the learning. This is the key element. uses this information to quide instructional planning.

The teacher begins the long-range planning process by gaining a thorough understanding of students' prior achievement levels, learning styles and needs, The teacher cultural and These are the **descriptions** and **examples**. They are cords (e.g., gathers this i not intended to be used as a mandatory "checklist." als such as permanent re Remember that even a great teacher doesn't other teache providers, necessarily do all of these things all of the time! he teacher parents, and

identifies the factors that are likely to impact student learning. The teacher then uses this information to develop appropriate plans for meeting the diverse needs of his or her students.

#### REQUIRED DATA SOURCES AND EVIDENCE DOCUMENTATION

SAFE-T includes multiple sources of evidence that reflect the teacher's performance relative to each of the ten APSs and their related key elements. During the SAFE-T process, evidence is collected from five sources and documented via Teacher Templates (TT) and Evaluator Templates (ET). All evidence documentation, in electronic format, is placed in a dossier, as follows:

Evidence Documentation	Related APS(s)	Completed and submitted by
Targeted Course Portfolio (TT1-2)	APSs 1-3	the teacher
Classroom observation record (ET1) following each classroom observation	APSs 4–9	each evaluator
Reflection on instruction and student learning (TT3) following each classroom observation	APSs 4-9	the teacher
Professional review (ET2)	APS 10.A-D	the administrator and optional for evaluation team member(s)
Professional self-assessment (TT4)	APS 10.E	the teacher

The chair of the evaluation team is responsible for maintaining the dossier and for ensuring that all required evidence documentation, as listed in the above table, is included. The team chair must ensure that the *entire contents* of the dossier are made available to each member of the evaluation team in sufficient time for each evaluator to conduct an independent review of the dossier according to the criteria described in the **SAFE-T Summary** (ET3).

#### TARGETED COURSE PORTFOLIOS

#### About TARGETED COURSE PORTFOLIOS

A Targeted Course Portfolio (TCP) consists of two sections: the blueprint for teaching an entire course (Section I. Action Plan) and a specific unit (Section II. Unit Plan). For the purposes of SAFE-T, a <u>course</u> is defined as a specified subject taught to a specified group (class) of students during a specified time frame (typically a semester or a year). At the elementary school level, language arts taught to a class of fourth graders would be an example of a year-long course. At the secondary level, biology taught during a second-period class to students from a variety of grade levels would be an example of a semester-long course.

#### **General TCP Requirements for SAFE-T**

Teachers are required to submit a Targeted Course Portfolio for one course taught; this must be the same as the Student Learning Objective (SLO) course.

- During the preliminary evaluation period, the TCP for early childhood and elementary teachers (Pre-K through grade 6) MUST include a focus on language or pre-literacy if they are required to be "content competent" in either of those areas in accordance with their current teaching assignments.
- The teacher must develop and submit the TCP by the date established and announced by the school district, unless an extension is approved by the chair of the evaluation team.
- A TCP must be submitted during the final evaluation period if the preliminary judgment is not met. A TCP may also be required during the final evaluation period, at the discretion of the evaluation team, even if the preliminary judgment is met.
- The teacher must use the SAFE-T Teacher Template (TT1-2: Targeted Course Portfolio). All information for must be embedded in the template (no attachments). All components, including all reflection questions, must be addressed.

#### Section I. Action Plan Contents

Section I of the Targeted Course Portfolio is the Action Plan. It is, in effect, an extended course syllabus. Much like a traditional course syllabus, the Action Plan (Section I) provides a description of the intended outcomes (i.e., goals) for the students, the content (i.e., instructional units) and anticipated timelines, progress monitoring, and the criteria for judging student progress and achievement. Section I extends beyond a traditional course syllabus, however, by including descriptions of the context — i.e., characteristics of the students as well as behavioral expectations for the students.

In SAFE-T, the Section I becomes part of the teacher's dossier and provides evidence relative to APS 1 (Long-Range Planning).

The Action Plan (Section I) consists of five sections that correspond to the five key elements in APS 1. Each section includes reflection question(s) that the teacher must address. Teachers should respond to each reflection question in approximately 150 words or less.

### TCP Key Element 1.A: Student Information. This section includes the following component:

• A description of the specific information about students that the teacher feels will have the most impact on instructional planning and delivery. As a general guide, the teacher should include three to five types of information (e.g., achievement levels, learning styles, individual interests).

# TCP Key Element 1.B: Learning Goal (Objective). This section includes the following component:

 A description of what students will be able to do at the end of the SLO interval/course. The Learning Goal (objective) is based on and aligned with course or grade level content standards and curriculum.

# TCP Key Element 1.C: Course Content and Pacing Guide. This section includes the following component:

 A sequential listing of the units (including a brief description of the unit topics/key elements and correlation to the appropriate standards), as well as the timeline of each unit.

# TCP Key Element 1.D: Progress Monitoring Key. This section includes the following component:

 An explanation of how frequently students will be monitored for mastery of the content. Ongoing sources of evidence collected for progress monitoring should be included.

# TCP Key Element 1.E: Instructional and Behavior Management Strategies. This section includes the following two components:

- The best instructional practices that will be used to teach content to students.
   Differentiation of instruction and how it relates to data should be included.
- The expectations for students during instructional and non-instructional times.

#### Section II. Unit Plan Contents

The purpose of Section II of the TCP is to demonstrate and document the teacher's effectiveness in promoting student achievement. In the context of the Unit Plan (Section II), student achievement is defined as measurable growth in

students' knowledge and skills in a particular area or areas over a specified period of time. The Unit Plan (Section II) provides the evidence regarding the teacher's performance relative to APS 2 (Short-Range Planning of Instruction) and APS 3 (Planning Assessments and Using Data).

#### Criteria for Unit Selection

A <u>unit</u> is defined as a set of integrated lessons designed to accomplish learning objectives related to one or more curricular themes, areas of knowledge, and/or general skills or processes.

The following criteria apply to selecting the unit for the unit work sample:

- The unit must be selected from among those listed in the teacher's Action Plan that was included in Section 1 of the TCP.
- Since the purpose of the unit work sample is to analyze student growth, the unit work sample can **not** be based on the first unit in the course.
- The unit must be completed in its entirety during the evaluation period and prior to the due date of the unit work sample.
- The unit must cover a minimum of two or more weeks. In rare instances
  where a teacher is unable to design a unit that is two or more weeks in length,
  the teacher must request approval from the evaluation team to select two
  consecutive instructional units to complete during the evaluation period. In
  this event, the entire unit work sample process must be followed for each unit
  of instruction.

The Unit Plan (Section II) consists of six sections that correspond to the six key elements in APSs 2 and 3. Each section includes reflection question(s) that the teacher must address. Teachers should respond to each reflection question in approximately 150 words or less.

TCP Key Element 2.A: Unit Objectives. This section includes the following two components:

- A description of the major unit objectives. As a general guide, the teacher should include at least five objectives. The teacher should correlate the objectives to the appropriate grade-level standards and/or competencies, as necessary.
- The teacher's response to the following reflection question: How did you craft these objectives so that the students understand both the objectives and their relevance?

TCP Key Element 2.B: Instructional Plan. This section includes the following two components:

 A description of the instructional plan (i.e., the sequence of lessons that the teacher will need to follow if the students are to achieve the unit objectives – including content, strategies, materials, and resources). As a general guide, the teacher should include a description of at least ten lessons. • The teacher's response to the following reflection question: How does this instructional plan establish a balance between grade-level academic standards and expectations and the needs, abilities, and developmental levels of individual students?

# TCP Key Element 2.C: Response to Formative Analysis. This section includes the following component:

 The teacher's response to the following reflection question: Did you need to adjust your instructional plan, or do you foresee the need to make adjustments to future instructional plans for this group of students? Why or why not?

# **TCP Key Element 3.A: Unit Assessments.** This section includes the following three components:

- A grading profile for assessments for the course, to include grade weights.
- A list and/or description of the key unit assessments, and an indication of whether each assessment is either teacher-made or commercially available. A copy of each key assessment must be embedded in the template.
- The teacher's response to the following reflection questions: Why were these assessments selected? What accommodations, if any, were made to meet the needs of your students?

# TCP Key Element 3.B: Analysis of Student Performance. This section includes the following three components:

- The teacher should embed records of student performance from the unit (e.g. copy of InteGrade Pro reports, copy of gradebook, checklists).
- The teacher should describe the ways in which students' performance is analyzed. What were the findings regarding students' mastery of the unit objectives?
- The teacher's response to the following two-part reflection question: In what way(s) did this information (1) increase your understanding of your students' strengths and weaknesses, and (2) determine specific aspects of instruction that need to be modified?

# TCP Key Element 3.C: Summative Results. This section includes the following component:

• The teacher's response to the following reflection question: Do the overall results accurately reflect the students' progress and achievement relative to the unit objectives? Why or why not?

#### TCP Notes for Teachers (Step-By-Step)

Step 1 Complete the TCP for <u>the designated SLO course</u> using the SAFE-T Template (TT1-2: Targeted Course Portfolio). All information for the course must be embedded in the template (no attachments).

(Reminder: Responses to the reflection questions should be limited to approximately 150 words per question.)

- Step 2 Submit an electronic copy of the completed TCP to the chair of the evaluation team by the date established and announced by the school district.
- Step 3 Remember that the TCP is a dynamic document that should be reviewed on a regular basis and revised as necessary. Be sure to submit a copy of any revised TCP to the evaluation team chair for inclusion in the dossier.

#### TCP Notes for Evaluators (Step-By-Step)

- Step 1 The chair receives an electronic copy of the completed TCP template(s) from the teacher and places the document in the dossier.
- Step 2 The chair ensures that each evaluator has access to the TCP within seven calendar days of submission.
- Prior to the end of the preliminary evaluation period, all members of the evaluation team must participate in a **consensus meeting**. During the consensus meetings, all members of the evaluation team meet to discuss their independent ratings for each key element in APSs 1, 2, and 3 and reach consensus regarding the judgment for each key element. The team then prepares a consensus report for the APSs 1, 2, and 3 sections of the SAFE-T Summary (ET3). Once the consensus-based SAFE-T Summary (ET3) has been completed, all working copies (i.e., individually prepared) of the SAFE-T Summaries (ET3s) are to be destroyed.
- Step 4 The evaluation team makes a consensus-based decision regarding the TCP requirements for the final evaluation period, as follows:
  - If the preliminary evaluation consensus judgment indicates that one or more of the key elements of APSs 1, 2, or 3 are not met, the teacher must submit another TCP, in the designated SLO course that the teacher is responsible for teaching during the final evaluation period. The TCP process is repeated during the final evaluation period.
  - If the preliminary evaluation consensus judgment indicates that all key elements of APSs 1, 2, and 3 are met, the evaluation team makes a consensus-based decision, as follows:
    - The evaluation team may waive the requirement that the teacher submit another TCP during the final evaluation period. In this case, the preliminary judgments of "met" for the APSs 1, 2, and 3 key elements become the final evaluation judgments for these key elements.

 The evaluation team may require the teacher to submit another TCP during the final evaluation cycle, either for all courses being taught or for those newly-acquired courses.

# CLASSROOM OBSERVATIONS AND REFLECTIONS ON INSTRUCTION AND STUDENT LEARNING

#### **About Classroom Observations**

The purpose of classroom observations is to gather information about the teacher's *typical* teaching performance. Classroom observations provide evidence relative to APSs 4–9.

The required classroom observations for SAFE-T are known as *integral classroom observations*. Each integral classroom observation must be unannounced, must cover a complete lesson (including before and after transitions) <u>or</u> be at least 45 minutes in length, and must provide valid evidence relative to all key elements in APSs 4–9.

#### **Integral Classroom Observations: Notes for Teachers**

Since all integral classroom observations are unannounced, the teacher does not need to engage in any *special* preparation prior to the observations. *If* the district and/or school requires teachers to prepare written lesson plans, the lesson plan for the observed lesson is subject to review, at the request of the observer/evaluator.

#### Integral Classroom Observations: Notes for Evaluators

A minimum of two integral classroom observations (i.e., at least one per evaluator) must be conducted during each evaluation period. By the end of the school year, a minimum of four integral observations (i.e., at least two per evaluator) must have been conducted. Additional integral observations may be conducted, at the discretion of the evaluation team.

Integral classroom observations in the same course must be conducted a week apart, at a minimum.

Following each classroom observation, the evaluators complete Evaluator Template 1 (ET1: Classroom Observation Record). The purpose of the observation record is to ensure that the observer collects data relative to *every* key element in APSs 4–9. Once completed, all observation records are placed in the dossier.

A minimum of three evaluators is required for teachers who are scheduled to undergo a **highly consequential formal evaluation**. A highly consequential formal evaluation applies to teachers who fall into any of the following categories: (1) any teacher who was not successful on his or her "annual-formal 1" evaluation and who is now undergoing an "annual-formal 2" evaluation, (2) any continuing-contract teacher who was recommended by his or her principal for formal evaluation, (3) any continuing-contract teacher who was not successful on his or her formal evaluation the previous year, or (4) any teacher who is returning to the field following an ADEPT-related certificate suspension and is being given one final opportunity to successfully complete a formal evaluation,

# Walk-Through Classroom Observations (optional): Notes for Evaluators

In addition to the required integral classroom observations, evaluators may conduct optional walk-through observations as often as needed. However, data gathered from walk-through observations may be applied to SAFE-T for the following purposes only:

- To determine the need for additional integral classroom observations; and/or
- To determine the need for the teacher to develop another unit work sample, either in the same course or in a another course that the teacher is responsible for teaching, during a subsequent evaluation period; and/or
- To follow-up on specific instructional weaknesses that were identified during a previous integral classroom observation.

Notes from an evaluator's walk-through observation may be included in the dossier *only* if the content applies to one or more of the purposes described above.

#### **About Reflections on Instruction and Student Learning**

The purpose of the reflections is to provide insight into the teacher's perspective of the lesson following each classroom observation. These reflections, which become part of the dossier, provide additional evidence regarding the teacher's performance relative to APSs 4–9.

Each written reflection on the lesson must include, but need not be limited to, the teacher's responses to the following nine sets of questions:

- What were the objectives of this lesson? How well do you think your students understood the overall purpose and relevance of the lesson? (APS 4.A–C)
- 2. What effect did your teaching strategies have in terms of promoting student learning and keeping your students meaningfully engaged? (APS 5.A–C)
- 3. Why was the content of the lesson appropriate for the students, and how effectively did you organize this content? (APS 6.A–C)
- 4. How did you assess student learning during the lesson? What were the results? (APS 7.A)
- 5. Did you need to make any adjustments during the lesson? Why or why not? (APS 7.B)
- 6. What types of feedback did or will you provide to the students regarding their performance, and why? (APS 7.C)

- 7. In what way(s) and to what extent did the classroom environment impact your instruction, and student learning, either positively or negatively? (APS 8.A–C; APS 9.A–C)
- 8. What decisions did you make regarding subsequent instruction for these students, and why? (APSs 4–9)
- 9. What did you learn as a result of teaching this lesson? (APSs 4-9)

# Reflections on Instruction and Student Learning: Notes for Teachers

Following each integral classroom observation, the teacher completes a written reflection. The teacher must use Teacher Template 3 (TT3: Reflections on Instruction and Student Learning) to prepare these reflections. Responses to each of the nine sets of reflection questions should average approximately 100 words or less per question. The teacher must electronically submit the completed document to the chair of the evaluation team within seven calendar days of the observation unless an extension is approved by the evaluation team chair. All reflections on instruction and student learning become part of the dossier.

#### Observation and Reflection Decisions: Notes for Evaluators

- Step 1 The chair receives a copy of the Classroom Observation Records (ET1s) completed by the evaluators as well as the Reflections on Instruction and Student Learning (TT3s) completed by the teacher, and places all documents in the dossier.
- Step 2 Each evaluator then reviews the dossier and records his or her independent judgment for each key element on his or her working copy of a SAFE-T Summary (ET3). Judgments for the 18 key elements in APSs 4–9 are based on the preponderance of evidence found in both the Classroom Observation Records (ET1s) and the Reflections on Instruction and Student Learning (TT3s).
- Step 3 Prior to the end of both evaluation periods, all members of the evaluation team must participate in a consensus meeting. During the consensus meeting, each evaluator presents his or her independent ratings, as indicated on the evaluator's working copy of the SAFE-T Summary (ET3), for each key element. The team must then reach consensus regarding the judgment for each key element and prepare a consensus-based SAFE-T Summary (ET3). Once the consensus-based SAFE-T Summary (ET3) has been completed, all working copies (i.e., individually prepared) SAFE-T Summaries (ET3s) are to be destroyed.
- **Step 4** Integral classroom observations and accompanying reflections are required during both the preliminary and final evaluation periods.

# PROFESSIONAL PERFORMANCE REVIEWS AND SELF-ASSESSMENTS

#### **About Professional Performance Reviews**

Near the end of each evaluation period, the building principal and/or other designated school-level or district-level administrators complete written reviews of the teacher's professional performance. The written review should be based on specific evidence collected from a variety of sources such as interactions and communication with the teacher, as well as notes from team and/or committee meetings. Members of the evaluation team also have the option of submitting evidence regarding the teacher's professional performance during the evaluation process. These reviews, which become part of the dossier, provide evidence regarding the teacher's performance relative to APS 10.A–D.

In completing this report, administrator(s) should use Evaluator Template 2 (ET2), the Professional Performance Review.

The administrator(s) must electronically submit a copy of the completed review to the chair of the evaluation team on or before the date designated in the district's annual formal evaluation calendar. The review then becomes part of the dossier. Additionally, members of the evaluation team have the option of completing all or part of a Professional Performance Review (ET2) regarding the teacher's professional performance during the evaluation process, and including this information in the dossier.

One or more professional reviews must be completed during both the preliminary and final evaluation periods and must be included in the dossier.

#### Professional Performance Reviews: Notes for Evaluators

- Step 1 The chair receives a copy of the Professional Performance Review(s) (ET2s) completed by one or more administrators and places the document(s) in the dossier. Any Professional Performance Reviews (ET2s) completed by the evaluators are also placed in the dossier.
- Step 2 Near the end of both the preliminary and final evaluation periods, the chair disseminates a copy of the completed dossier to each evaluator. Each evaluator then reviews the dossier and records his or her Independent judgment for each key element on his or her working copy of a SAFE-T Summary (ET3). Judgments for key elements 10.A–D are based on the administrator's and evaluator's Professional Performance Review (ET2).
- Step 3 Prior to the end of the evaluation periods, all members of the evaluation team must participate in a consensus meeting. During the consensus meeting, each evaluator presents his or her independent ratings, as indicated on the evaluator's working copy of the SAFE-T Summary (ET3), for each key element. The team must then reach

consensus regarding the judgment for each key element and prepare a consensus-based SAFE-T Summary (ET3). Once the consensus-based SAFE-T Summary (ET3) has been completed, all working copies (i.e., individually prepared) SAFE-T Summaries (ET3s) are to be destroyed.

**Step 4** One or more administrators must complete a Professional Performance Review (ET2) during both the preliminary and final evaluation periods.

#### **About Professional Self-Assessments**

The purpose of the professional self-assessment is to provide insight into the teacher's perspective regarding his or her current knowledge and skills and future growth as a professional. These self-assessments, which become part of the dossier, provide evidence relative to APS 10.E.

The professional self-assessment must include, but need not be limited to, the teacher's reflections on his or her strengths and weaknesses and insights into ways to enhance student learning. This self-assessment becomes the basis from which the teacher drafts a professional growth and development goal.

#### **Professional Self-Assessments: Notes for Teachers**

The teacher must use Teacher Template 4 (TT4: Professional Self-Assessment) to prepare the professional self-assessment.

Near the end of the evaluation period, the teacher must submit an electronic copy of the completed professional self-assessment to the chair of the evaluation team on or before the date specified by the district. The professional self-assessment then becomes part of the dossier.

#### Professional Self-Assessments: Notes for Evaluators

- Step 1 The chair receives a copy of the completed Professional Self-Assessment (TT4) from the teacher and places the document in the dossier.
- Step 2 Near the end of the preliminary evaluation period, the chair disseminates a copy of the completed dossier to each evaluator. Each evaluator then reviews the dossier and records his or her independent judgment for each key element on his or her working copy of a SAFE-T Summary (ET3). The judgment for key element 10.E is based on the teacher's Professional Self-Assessment (TT4).

- Step 3 Prior to the end of the preliminary evaluation cycle, all members of the evaluation team must participate in a **consensus meeting**. During the consensus meeting, each evaluator presents his or her independent ratings, as indicated on the evaluator's working copy of the SAFE-T Summary (ET3), for each key element. The team must then reach consensus regarding the judgment for each key element and prepare a consensus-based SAFE-T Summary (ET3). Once the consensus-based SAFE-T Summary (ET3) has been completed, all working copies (i.e., individually prepared) SAFE-T Summaries (ET3s) are to be destroyed.
- Step 4 The evaluation team must also make a consensus-based decision regarding the professional self-assessment requirements for the teacher for the final evaluation cycle, as follows:
- If the preliminary evaluation consensus judgment indicates that **key element**10.E is **not met**, the teacher must submit another professional selfassessment during the final evaluation period.
- If the preliminary evaluation consensus judgment indicates that key element
   10.E is met, the evaluation team makes a consensus-based decision, as follows:
  - The evaluation team may waive the requirement that the teacher submit another professional self-assessment during the final evaluation period.
     In this case, the preliminary judgment of "met" for key element 10.E becomes the final evaluation judgments for this key element.

or

• The evaluation team may require the teacher to submit another professional self-assessment during the final evaluation period.

#### PROFESSIONAL GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT PLANS

**Preliminary Evaluation Period**. At the end of the preliminary evaluation period, the evaluation team must ensure that a professional growth and development plan is put into place for the teacher, as follows:

If the teacher receives a preliminary evaluation judgment of *met* on all key elements, and if no areas for improvement were identified and reported on the SAFE-T Summary (ET3), the teacher may pursue the goal that he or she proposed on the Professional Self-Assessment (TT4). In this case, the teacher's proposed goal becomes the basis for his or her professional growth and development plan as a precursor to research and development goals-based evaluation (GBE).

01

 If the teacher receives a preliminary evaluation judgment of not met on any of the key elements, or if areas for improvement were identified and reported on the SAFE-T Summary (ET3), the teacher must receive a professional growth and development plan that addresses these areas for improvement. In this case, the goal that the teacher proposed on his or her Professional Self-Assessment (TT4) may be deferred until a later date.

**Final Evaluation Period**. At the end of the final evaluation period, the evaluation team may exercise one of three options, at the discretion of the school district, as follows:

 The evaluation team may waive developing a professional growth and development plan for the teacher if the teacher receives an overall judgment of *Met*. In this event, the evaluation team must ensure that all identified areas for improvement are accurately and clearly described on the SAFE-T Summary (ET3).

or

 The evaluation team may allow the teacher to continue with the professional growth and development plan that was developed at the end of the preliminary evaluation period, if the plan is consistent with the final evaluation results.

OI

 The evaluation team may develop a new professional growth and development plan for the teacher, based on the final evaluation results.

#### INDEPENDENT EVALUATOR JUDGMENTS

Toward the end of each evaluation period (i.e., preliminary and final), the team chair must ensure that the entire contents of the dossier are made available to each member of the evaluation team in sufficient time for each evaluator to conduct an independent review of the dossier prior to the consensus meeting. Each evaluator then reviews the dossier and records his or her independent judgment for <u>each key element</u>, along with the rationales for these judgments, on his or her working copy of a SAFE-T Summary (ET3).

#### **CONSENSUS MEETINGS**

The team chair must schedule a consensus meeting that must be held toward the end of each evaluation period (i.e., preliminary and final). All members of the evaluation team must participate in this meeting. All evaluators are to bring the working copies of their independently prepared SAFE-T Summaries (ET3s) to this meeting. The working copies must include a judgment for each key element, as well as supporting evidence. Judgments and evidence for APSs 4-9 must be based on all submitted ET1s (Evaluator Template 1: Classroom Observation Record) and TT3s (Reflection on Instruction and Student Learning).

During the consensus meeting, evaluation judgments must be made at three levels:

- At the first level, the evaluators must reach consensus regarding whether each **key element** is *met* or not *met*.
- At the second level, the evaluators calculate the number of key elements that are met in each domain. From this calculation, the evaluators determine whether each domain is met or not met. In order to receive a judgment of met for a domain, the teacher can fail no more than one key element in that domain.
- At the third and final level, the evaluators use the domain ratings to determine the **overall judgment** of *met* or *not met*. To receive an overall judgment of *met*, the teacher must pass all four domains.

The most efficient way to move through the consensus process is as follows:

- Step 1 Move quickly, and allow no discussion during this step. Beginning with the first key element, poll the evaluators to determine whether each evaluator judged the key element *met* or *not met*. Place the key element into one of the following three categories:
  - All evaluators agree that the key element is *met*. Record this judgment on the consensus-based SAFE-T Summary (ET3).
  - All evaluators agree that the key element is not met. Record this judgment on the consensus-based SAFE-T Summary (ET3).

 The evaluators are not in agreement as to whether the key element is met or not met.

Repeat this process for each of the 34 key elements. Step 1 should take no longer than five minutes to complete.

- Step 2 Begin discussion. Concentrate on the key elements on which there is disagreement and those judged *not met*. Begin by reaching consensus (i.e., either *met* or *not met*) for each key element on which there is disagreement. Record these judgments on the consensus-based SAFE-T Summary (ET3).
- Step 3 Now that consensus has been reached for all 34 key elements (i.e., either *met* or *not met*), write a detailed rationale on the consensus-based SAFE-T Summary (ET3) for each key element that was judged *not met*. All weaknesses must be clearly described.
- Write a rationale on the consensus-based SAFE-T Summary (ET3) for each key element that was judged *met*. These rationales should summarize, but not repeat, the evidence in the dossier. Typically, the rationales for key elements that are judged *met* are not as detailed as those for key elements judged *not met*. However, it is permissible for an evaluation team to judge a key element as *met* but to include an area for improvement in the rationale section that accompanies the key element.
- Step 5 Calculate the number of key elements that are *met* in each of the four domains. In order to receive a judgment of *met* for a domain, the teacher can fail no more than one key element in that domain.
- Step 6 Use the domain ratings to determine the overall judgment of met or *not met*. To receive an overall judgment of *met*, the teacher must pass all four domains.
- **Step 7** If this is the preliminary evaluation period, the evaluation team must reach consensus on the answers to the following questions:
  - Does the teacher need to develop and submit another long-range plan for the final evaluation period? If so, for which course(s)?
  - Does the teacher need to develop and submit another unit work sample for the final evaluation period?
  - Does the teacher need to submit another professional selfassessment for the final evaluation period?
- **Step 8** The evaluation team must reach consensus on recommendations for the teacher's professional growth and development plan.

#### **SUMMARY OF SAFE-T JUDGMENTS**

Domains and Performance Standards for Classroom-Based Teachers	Number of Key Elements	Number of Key Elements Required to Pass the Domain
Domain 1: Planning		
APS 1: Long-Range Planning	5	
APS 2: Short-Range Planning of Instruction	3	
APS 3: Planning Assessments and Using Data	3	≥ 10
	11 Domain Total	
Domain 2: Instruction		
APS 4: High Expectations for Learners	3	
APS 5: Using Instructional Strategies	3	
APS 6: Providing Content	3	≥ 11
APS 7: Monitoring, Assessing, and Enhancing Learning	3	
	12 Domain Total	
Domain 3: Environment		
APS 8: Maintaining a Learning Environment	3	
APS 9: Managing the Classroom	3	≥ 5
	6 Domain Total	
Domain 4: Professionalism		
APS 10: Fulfilling Professional Responsibilities	5	
	5 Domain Total	≥ 4

To successfully complete the formal evaluation, the teacher must pass *all four* domains at the time of the final evaluation judgment.

#### CONFERENCES

Following each consensus meeting, but prior to the end of each evaluation period, one or more of the members of the evaluation team must meet with the teacher to provide a detailed oral and written explanation of the teacher's performance with regard to each of the key elements and the domains as well as the overall results of the evaluation. The consensus report (i.e., the consensus-based SAFE-T Summary, ET3) should serve as the basis for the discussion.

At the conference, the teacher also must receive written and/or oral explanations regarding the development of his or her professional growth and development plan.

If this is a preliminary evaluation conference, the teacher must be informed of requirements for the final evaluation period relative to an additional long-range plan, unit work sample, and/or professional self-assessment. If the teacher is undergoing SAFE-T on an annual contract as an experienced teacher from another state, the evaluation may choose to complete the evaluation at the end of the preliminary period if the teacher is met. This should be indicated on the preliminary SAFE-T Summary (ET3).

The teacher must sign and date the consensus report at the conclusion of the meeting. The teacher's signature serves as verification that he or she has reviewed the report, but it does *not* imply the teacher's agreement with the findings.

The teacher must be provided a copy of the consensus report (i.e., the SAFE-T Summary, ET3). An additional copy of the report must be maintained at the school. The original report must be sent to the district evaluation office prior to the established due date.

#### MAINTENANCE OF SAFE-T RECORDS

Throughout each evaluation period, the chair of the evaluation team is responsible for compiling and maintaining the dossier.

At the conclusion of each consensus meeting, the working copies of the individually prepared SAFE-T Summaries (ET3s) must be destroyed.

As a *minimum* requirement, a copy of the dossier for both the preliminary and final evaluation cycles must be maintained, either at the school or at the district office, until after the teacher's deadline for all appeals has passed. If an appeal is issued, the dossiers must be maintained at least until all avenues have been exhausted and a final resolution has been reached.

The final consensus-based SAFE-T Summary (ET3) must be maintained indefinitely as part of the teacher's personnel record.

#### RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE EVALUATION TEAM

Evaluators serve not only on behalf of the school district but also on behalf of the state. The decisions they make become a permanent part of the teacher's professional record. Therefore, it is of utmost importance every evaluator undertake this responsibility with integrity and ensure the fidelity of implementation of the SAFE-T process, as follows:

- Evaluators must perform in an ethical manner, consistent with all professional standards relating to personnel evaluations.
- Evaluators must uphold confidentiality.
- Evaluators must ensure the validity of the evaluation results.
- Evaluators must follow all standardized procedures in preparing for and conducting the evaluation, in determining and reporting the evaluation judgments, and in conferencing with the teacher.
- Evaluators must not discuss individual judgments with the teacher. Only consensus-based decisions may be shared with the teacher.
- Evaluators must report any procedural or ethical violations to the district's ADEPT coordinator.

#### RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE TEAM CHAIR

Each evaluation team must have a team chair. The responsibilities of the team chair are as follows:

- The team chair must electronically maintain the dossier that contains all of the evidence that will be used to make the evaluation judgments. The evidence must include:
  - Targeted Course Portfolio TT1-2
  - Evaluator's Classroom Observation Records ET1s
  - Teacher's Reflections TT3s
  - Administrators'/Evaluators' Professional Performance Reviews -ET2(s)
  - Teacher's Professional Self-Assessment TT4
- If the teacher fails to submit any required documentation by the prescribed deadline, and no extension has been granted, the team chair must send at least one written reminder to the teacher. The chair should place a copy of this notification in the dossier.

- The chair must include a note in the dossier of any documentation that the
  teacher submits past the prescribed deadline in instances where no
  extension was granted. The chair must also include a note in the dossier if
  the teacher fails to submit any of the required documentation. The
  Professional Performance Review (ET2) template may be used for these
  purposes.
- Toward the end of each evaluation period (i.e., preliminary and final), the team chair must ensure that the *entire contents* of the dossier are made available to each member of the evaluation team in sufficient time for each evaluator to conduct an independent review of the dossier prior to the consensus meeting.
- The team chair must schedule and facilitate the consensus meeting toward the end of each evaluation period.
- The team chair is responsible for preparing the consensus-based SAFE-T Summary (ET3) for each evaluation period.
- The team chair must schedule the conference with the teacher and ensure that the conference is appropriately conducted toward the end of each evaluation period. The team chair also must ensure that the teacher receives a copy of the consensus-based SAFE-T Summary (ET3) toward the end of each evaluation period.
- The team chair must ensure that all required documentation (completed consensus-based SAFE-T Summary ET3) is submitted to the district evaluation office, in accordance with the district timeline.

#### **SAFE-T At-a-Glance**

CLASSROOM- BASED TEACHER	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
Complete the TCP (TT1-2) and submit for inclusion in dossier			
Complete a reflection (TT3) following each classroom observation and submit for inclusion in the dossier	Conduct one or more integral classroom observations and place the completed classroom observation record(s) (ET1) in the dossier	Conduct one or more integral classroom observations and place the completed classroom observation record(s) (ET1) in the dossier	Conduct one or more classroom observations (may or may not be integral) and place the completed classroom observation record(s) (ET1) in the dossier
Complete the self- assessment	**Completed by the administrator and optional for member(s) of the evaluation team**		
(TT4) and submit for inclusion in the dossier	Complete the professional performance review (ET2) and submit for inclusion in the dossier		
	Conduct an independent review of the dossier (ET3)	Conduct an independent review of the dossier (ET3)	Conduct an independent review of the dossier (ET3)
	Hold the consensus meeting; complete a consensus-based <b>ADEPT Formal Evaluation Summary</b> (ET3).		
Participate in the evaluation conference to discuss the evaluation results			

Note: The procedure that appears in the gray-shaded area is *optional* during the final evaluation period, at the discretion of the evaluation team, contingent upon the teacher's successful preliminary evaluation results in the respective APS key element.

#### **GLOSSARY**

Terms in **boldface type** in the text of the definitions have glossary entries of their own.

**ADEPT.** South Carolina's system for Assisting, Developing, and Evaluating Professional Teaching.

ADEPT Performance Standards (APSs). Subsets of the domains that establish the state's expectations for teaching performance. There are ten APSs for classroom-based teachers. Each APS includes three to five key elements that further define the standard.

**Consensus**. Unanimous agreement among the members of the evaluation team.

**Course.** A specified subject taught to a specified group (class) of students during a specified time frame (typically a semester or a year).

**Disruptive behavior**. Student behavior that distracts or intimidates others or that significantly interferes with the learning environment.

**Domain**. A broad category of knowledge. **SAFE-T** contains four domains of professional teaching: planning, instruction, environment, and professionalism.

**Dossier.** A file that contains the documentation of evidence relative to teaching performance. Evidence is contributed to the dossier by the teacher, the evaluators, and the administrator(s). The complete dossier is reviewed by all evaluators in order to make the informed professional judgments.

**Evaluation Criteria**. How student grades (or other indicators of student performance, progress, and/or achievement) will be determined.

**Evaluator Templates (ETs).** Structured response forms that evaluators complete and submit for inclusion in the **dossier** to provide evidence relative to specific **key elements**. The first two Evaluator Templates are ET1. Classroom Observation Record and ET2: Professional Performance Review. The third Evaluator Template (ET3: SAFE-T Summary) is the final **consensus-based ET3** and serves as the evaluation report.

**Final evaluation period.** The second of the two evaluation periods required for **SAFE-T**. The final evaluation period must cover approximately 45 working days and must occur, at least in part, during the second semester of the school year. The final evaluation period ends on the date that all final evaluation conferences must be completed (i.e., prior to May 1). Results of the final evaluation period serve as the official results of the evaluation.

**Formative assessment**. Often referred to as assessment for learning. The purpose of formative assessment is to promote student learning. The process of formative assessment involves (1) gathering information from the students in order to determine the need to adjust instruction and (2) providing feedback to the students in order to facilitate learning.

Highly consequential evaluation. Applies to any teacher that falls into any of the following categories: (1) any teacher who has failed his or her "annual-formal 1" evaluation and who is now undergoing an "annual-formal 2" evaluation, (2) any continuing-contract teacher who was recommended by his or her principal for formal evaluation, (3) any continuing-contract teacher who was not successful on his or her formal evaluation the previous year, or (4) any teacher who is returning to the field following an ADEPT-related certificate suspension and is being given one final opportunity to successfully complete a formal evaluation,

**Integral classroom observation.** The required classroom observations for SAFE-T, each of which must provide valid evidence relative to all key elements in APSs 4–9. Each integral classroom observation must be unannounced and must be a minimum of 45 minutes in length.

**Key elements.** The essential components of each **APS**. Key elements are demonstrated in a number of ways, as appropriate to each teaching and learning context. Therefore, key elements are not synonymous with instructional activities, teacher behaviors, or teaching styles.

**Misbehavior**. Student behavior that is improper or inappropriate in the context of the learning environment.

**Preliminary evaluation period.** The first of two evaluation periods required for **SAFE-T**. The preliminary evaluation period must cover approximately 45 working days and must occur during the first semester of the school year. The preliminary evaluation period begins on the date established and announced by the school district. Results of the preliminary evaluation period serve as a type of progress report to the teacher.

**Professional performance reviews.** Written evaluations of the teacher's professional performance completed by the administrator(s) near the end of each evaluation period (see **ET2**). These reviews, which become part of the **dossier**, provide evidence regarding the teacher's performance relative to APS 10.A–D. **Professional self-assessment.** The teacher's written reflections on his or her professional strengths and weaknesses and insights into ways to enhance his or her teaching effectiveness (see **TT4**). The self-assessment becomes part of the dossier and segues to goals-based evaluation.

**Reflections.** Opportunities for the teacher to explain the reasoning and purpose behind his or her professional decisions and actions. (see TT3)

SAFE-T. Summative ADEPT Formal Evaluation of Teachers.

**Special area personnel.** Includes library media specialists, school guidance counselors, and speech-language therapists. **SAFE-T** does *not* apply to special area personnel.

**Student achievement.** Measurable growth in students' knowledge and skills in a particular area or areas over a specified period of time.

**Summative Evaluation**. Often referred to as assessment of learning. Summative evaluations are accountability measures that are used to measure and report learning outcomes. Examples of summative evaluations include state assessments, district benchmark or interim assessments, end-of unit or chapter tests, end-of-term or semester exams, adequate yearly progress (AYP) reports, report card grades, and the like.

**Teacher Templates (TT).** Structured response forms that teachers complete and submit for inclusion in the **dossier** to provide evidence relative to specific **key elements**. **SAFE-T** includes four Teacher Templates.

**Unit.** A set of integrated lessons designed to accomplish learning objectives related to one or more curricular themes, areas of knowledge, and/or general skills or processes.

Walk-through observations. Optional observations that are unannounced and typically are shorter and/or less comprehensive than integral classroom observations. Data gathered from walk-through observations may be used for SAFE-T purposes only (1) to determine the need for additional integral classroom observations; (2) to determine the need for the teacher to develop another TCP, either in the same course or in another course that the teacher is responsible for teaching, during a subsequent evaluation period; and/or (3) to follow-up on specific instructional weaknesses that were identified during a previous integral classroom observation.

#### **ADEPT Performance Standards for Classroom-Based Teachers**

# APS 1 Long-Range Planning

An effective teacher facilitates student achievement by establishing appropriate long- range learning goals and by identifying the instructional, assessment, and management strategies necessary to help all students progress toward meeting these goals.

Long-range planning requires the teacher to combine a knowledge of content, standards, and curriculum with a knowledge of specific learning-teaching contexts and student characteristics. Although long-range planning is an essential process for all teachers, long-range plans (LRPs) will differ according to variables such as content (i.e., subject matter, concepts, principles, process, and related skills) and context (e.g., setting, learning needs of the students). In developing LRPs, the teacher should work both independently and collaboratively. LRPs are dynamic documents that should be reviewed continuously and revised, as necessary, throughout the school year.

#### **KEY ELEMENTS**

This standard requires the teacher to demonstrate the following abilities and dispositions:

# 1.A The teacher obtains student information, analyzes this information to determine the learning needs of all students, and uses this information to guide instructional planning.

The teacher begins the long-range planning process by gaining a thorough understanding of students' prior achievement levels, learning styles and needs, cultural and socioeconomic backgrounds, and individual interests. The teacher gathers this information from a variety of sources, including student records (e.g., permanent records, individualized education programs) and individuals such as other teachers, special-area professionals, administrators, service providers, parents, and the students themselves. From this information, the teacher identifies the factors that are likely to impact student learning. The teacher then uses this information to develop appropriate plans for meeting the diverse needs of his or her students.

# 1.B The teacher establishes appropriate standards-based long-range learning and developmental goals for all students.

The teacher's goals are aligned with relevant federal, state, and local requirements and reflect the applicable grade-level academic standards. For preschool children and students with severe disabilities, the teacher's goals align with appropriate developmental and/or functional expectations.

### 1.C The teacher identifies and sequences instructional units in a manner that facilitates the accomplishment of the long-range goals.

In this context, an *instructional unit* is a set of integrated lessons that is designed to accomplish learning objectives related to a curricular theme, an area of knowledge, or a general skill or process. Consistent with relevant federal, state, and local curriculum and/or academic standards, the teacher's instructional units provide for appropriate coverage of the key themes, concepts, skills, and standards related to the subject area(s) and are designed to expose students to a variety of intellectual, social, and cultural perspectives. The sequence of the teacher's units (as presented through timelines, curriculum maps, planning and pacing guides, and so forth) follows a logical progression, with an appropriate amount of time allocated to each instructional unit.

# 1.D The teacher develops appropriate processes for evaluating and recording students' progress and achievement.

The teacher's evaluation process includes the major formal and informal assessments to be used (e.g., observations, exams, research papers, performance, projects, portfolios) and the evaluation criteria for each. The teacher's evaluation methods are appropriate for the learning goals and the content. The evaluation criteria match state, local, and/or individually determined expectations for student progress and achievement. The teacher's record-keeping system provides a confidential and well-organized system for storing, retrieving, and analyzing all necessary student data.

#### 1.E The teacher plans appropriate procedures for managing the classroom.

The teacher's rules and procedures for managing student behavior, whether developed independently by the teacher or collaboratively with the students, are clearly stated, appropriate for the students, and consistent with school and district policies. The rules are stated in positive terms, when possible, and focus on behaviors rather than on students. The teacher's procedures for managing essential non-instructional routines (e.g., transitioning between activities and/or subjects, taking roll, collecting student work, preparing learning centers or labs, retrieving instructional materials or resources) promote efficiency and minimize the loss of instructional time.

# APS 2 Short-Range Planning of Instruction

An effective teacher facilitates student achievement by planning appropriate learning objectives; selecting appropriate content, strategies, and materials for each instructional unit; and systematically using student performance data to guide instructional decision making.

In this context, the term *instructional unit* is defined as a set of integrated lessons that is designed to accomplish learning objectives related to a curricular theme, an area of knowledge, or a general skill or process. The length of instructional units—that is, the number of days or lessons they cover—will vary in accordance with such factors as the number of objectives to be accomplished; the complexity of the content to be covered; and the ability levels of the particular students.

#### **KEY ELEMENTS**

This standard requires the teacher to demonstrate the following abilities and dispositions:

2.A The teacher develops unit objectives that facilitate student achievement of appropriate academic standards and long-range learning and developmental goals.

The teacher's objectives define what the students should know (i.e., the factual, conceptual, procedural, and/or metacognitive knowledge) and be able to do (e.g., the cognitive processes—remembering, understanding, applying, analyzing, evaluating, and/or creating) upon completing the unit. The teacher's objectives are student-oriented, explicit, and assessable statements of intended learning outcomes. There is a clear connection between the unit objectives and grade-level academic standards (or, for preschool children or students with severe disabilities, between the unit objectives and appropriate developmental and/or functional expectations). The unit objectives are consistent with the long-range goals, assessment results from previous instructional units, state and local curriculum guidelines, individualized education programs (IEPs), and the needs and interests of the students. The unit objectives are logically linked to previous and future learning objectives.

2.B The teacher develops instructional plans that include content, strategies, materials, and resources that are appropriate for the particular students.

The content of the teacher's instructional plans is drawn from multiple sources that are accurate and current and is applicable to the students' grade-level academic standards, instructional needs, ability and developmental levels, and interests. The sources of the content expose students to a variety of intellectual,

social, and cultural perspectives as appropriate. The teacher selects a variety of instructional strategies and materials in order to present content in formats that accommodate learning differences and that translate into real-life contexts for the students. Instructional technology is included as appropriate. The instructional strategies are logically sequenced and include sufficient opportunities for initial learning, application and practice, and review. The strategies lead the students to increasingly higher levels of thinking and problem solving. They promote active student engagement during both independent and collaborative learning tasks, and they provide opportunities for the teacher and students to vary their roles in the instructional process (e.g., instructor, facilitator, coach, audience).

# 2.C The teacher routinely uses student performance data to guide short-range planning of instruction.

The teacher develops lesson and unit plans on the basis of accurate conclusions that he or she has drawn from analyses of the particular students' prior performance (i.e., their behavior, progress, and achievement).

## APS 3 Planning Assessments and Using Data

An effective teacher facilitates student achievement by assessing and analyzing student performance and using this information to measure student progress and guide instructional planning.

In this context, the term assessment refers to any formal or informal measurement tool, activity, assignment, or procedure used by a classroom teacher to evaluate student performance. Assessments may be commercially produced or developed by the teacher, but all should be valid, reliable, and maximally free from bias.

#### **KEY ELEMENTS**

This standard requires the teacher to demonstrate the following abilities and dispositions:

### 3.A The teacher develops/selects and administers a variety of appropriate assessments.

The assessments used by the teacher are technically sound indicators of students' progress and achievement in terms of the unit objectives, the grade-level (or individually determined) academic standards, and the student achievement goals. The assessments align with the learning objectives and the instruction in terms of the type(s) of knowledge (i.e., factual, conceptual, procedural, and/or metacognitive) and the cognitive processes (i.e., remembering, understanding, applying, analyzing, evaluating, and/or creating). The teacher is not overly reliant on commercially produced assessments, but when he or she uses them, the teacher is careful to ensure that any necessary modifications are made. Assessment materials are free of content errors, and all assessments include verbal and/or written directions, models, and/or prompts that clearly define what the students are expected to do. The assessments are appropriate for the ability and developmental levels of the students in the class. The teacher provides appropriate accommodations for individual students who require them in order to participate in assessments.

# 3.B At appropriate intervals, the teacher gathers and accurately analyzes student performance data and uses this information to guide instructional planning.

The teacher routinely obtains student baseline data, analyzes the data to determine student learning needs, and uses this information to develop appropriate instructional plans. At appropriate intervals throughout instruction, the teacher analyzes student performance on informal assessments (e.g., individual and group performance tasks, quizzes, assignments) and formal

assessments (e.g., tests, projects, portfolios, research papers, performances) to determine the extent to which both individual students and groups of students are progressing toward accomplishing the learning objectives. On the basis of these analyses, the teacher determines the impact of instruction on student learning and makes appropriate decisions about the need to modify his or her instructional plans.

## 3.C The teacher uses assessment data to assign grades (or other indicators) that accurately reflect student progress and achievement.

The teacher makes decisions about student performance, progress, and achievement on the basis of explicit expectations that clearly align with the learning objectives and achievement goals, the assessments, and the students' level of ability. The teacher may present his or her evaluation criteria in the form of scoring rubrics, vignettes, grading standards, answer keys, rating scales, and the like. Assessments are appropriately weighted on the basis of the relative importance of each in determining overall progress and achievement. The teacher maintains accurate, current, well-organized, and confidential records of assessment results. The teacher uses available information technology to store and assist with the analysis of student data.

# APS 4 Establishing and Maintaining High Expectations for Learners

An effective teacher establishes, clearly communicates, and maintains appropriate expectations for student learning, participation, and responsibility.

In this context, the term participation refers to student effort.

#### **KEY ELEMENTS**

This standard requires the teacher to demonstrate the following abilities and dispositions:

## 4.A The teacher establishes, communicates, and maintains high expectations for student achievement.

The teacher's expectations are appropriately challenging for the grade and/or ability levels of the particular students. The teacher communicates the learning objectives so that students clearly understand what they are expected to know and be able to do. The teacher reviews and/or clarifies the objectives as necessary.

## 4.B The teacher establishes, communicates, and maintains high expectations for student participation.

The teacher's expectations are appropriate for the grade and/or ability levels of the particular students and for the subject area. The teacher effectively communicates these expectations so that his or her students will readily apply them to instructional activities and events during the lessons and to assignments and tasks both in and out of the classroom.

## 4.C The teacher helps students assume responsibility for their own participation and learning.

The teacher clearly communicates the importance and relevance of the academic standards and learning objectives as well as the way the standards and objectives relate to the students' previous and/or future learning. The teacher encourages the students to become the active agents of their own learning and to take the initiative to follow through with their work. The teacher provides appropriate opportunities for the students to engage in self-assessment and reflection on their learning and to develop a metacognitive awareness of their own strengths and weaknesses. The teacher assists the students in developing strategies to compensate for their weaknesses when it is necessary.

## APS 5 Using Instructional Strategies to Facilitate Learning

An effective teacher promotes student learning through the effective use of appropriate instructional strategies.

The term *instructional strategies* refers to the methods, techniques, technologies, activities, or assignments that the teacher uses to help his or her students achieve the learning objectives.

#### **KEY ELEMENTS**

This standard requires the teacher to demonstrate the following abilities and dispositions:

#### 5.A The teacher uses appropriate instructional strategies.

The teacher's strategies are appropriate for the particular objectives and content and the particular students' grade, developmental, and ability levels. The strategies build on the students' interests and prior learning and are appropriate for the students' stage of learning (e.g., initial, application, practice, review) with regard to the particular material. The teacher's strategies promote higher levels of thinking and/or performance.

#### 5.B The teacher uses a variety of instructional strategies.

The teacher draws from a substantial repertoire of instructional strategies, varying his or her strategies both within and among lessons according to the particular objectives and content and the students' ability levels, learning styles, rates of learning, and special needs. The teacher conveys information in a variety of formats (e.g., lectures, videotapes, texts, DVDs) and approaches (e.g., demonstrations, guided practice, guided discovery, simulations). As appropriate to the learners and the learning, the teacher's instructional strategies include sharing instructional responsibilities with other teachers, guest speakers, and/or parents; varying and/or exchanging roles (e.g., instructor, facilitator, coach, observer) with students; and creating opportunities for both independent and collaborative learning experiences.

#### 5.C The teacher uses instructional strategies effectively.

The teacher uses instructional strategies that actively engage his or her students and that ultimately result in meaningful learning for them. All students receive opportunities to experience success.

## APS 6 Providing Content for Learners

An effective teacher possesses a thorough knowledge and understanding of the discipline so that he or she is able to provide the appropriate content for the learners.

In this context, the term *content* refers to the particular aspects of the discipline that are being taught, including subject matter, concepts, principles, processes, and related skills. Central to this standard is the content competence of the teacher. From this in-depth knowledge of the discipline, the teacher must select the content that is appropriate for his or her students and then organize the content in ways that best facilitate student learning.

#### **KEY ELEMENTS**

This standard requires the teacher to demonstrate the following abilities and dispositions:

### 6.A The teacher demonstrates a thorough command of the discipline that he or she teaches.

The teacher provides content that is accurate and current. The teacher's presentations, demonstrations, discussions, responses to students' questions, and methods of engaging the students indicate a thorough knowledge and understanding of the content. The teacher identifies and explains/demonstrates conceptual relationships and/or procedural steps. The teacher identifies and corrects students' content errors.

#### 6.B The teacher provides appropriate content.

The content of the teacher's lessons is aligned with the applicable curriculum requirements, grade-level academic standards, and/or student learning objectives. Whenever possible, the teacher draws lesson content from multiple sources and presents it in ways that expose students to a variety of intellectual, social, and/or cultural perspectives.

#### 6.C The teacher structures the content to promote meaningful learning.

The teacher's instruction goes beyond the simple presentation of factual knowledge. The teacher aligns the content with the learning objectives and ensures that students are provided with opportunities to acquire the knowledge and to use the cognitive processes that are necessary for successful problem solving. The teacher is able to identify and to explain and/or demonstrate key concepts and skills as well as their broader relationships and applications. The teacher guides student learning by presenting concepts and/or procedures in a logical sequence and in clear and sufficient detail. The teacher uses appropriate examples to help make the content relevant, meaningful, and applicable to the students. When students experience difficulties in mastering the content, the teacher is able to identify and address the sources of the problems.

## APS 7 Monitoring, Assessing, and Enhancing Learning

An effective teacher maintains a constant awareness of student performance throughout the lesson in order to guide instruction and provide appropriate feedback to students.

In this context, the term *monitoring* refers to any methods the teacher uses during the lesson to collect information about his or her students' understanding of the content. *Assessing* includes any formal or informal measurement tools, activities, assignments, or procedures a teacher uses during the lesson to evaluate the students' performance and their progress toward meeting the learning objectives. *Enhancing learning* refers to actions a teacher takes during the lesson as a direct result of monitoring and assessing in order to improve or extend student learning. Both APS 3 (Planning Assessments and Using Data) and APS 7 involve teacher decision making on the basis of the results of student assessments. However, APS 3 deals with decision making that occurs prior to and after instruction. In contrast, APS 7 deals with the decision making that occurs *during* the actual lesson. In other words, the key elements of APS 7 occur "in flight."

#### **KEY ELEMENTS**

This standard requires the teacher to demonstrate the following abilities and dispositions:

## 7.A The teacher continually monitors student learning during instruction by using a variety of informal and formal assessment strategies.

The teacher maintains a constant awareness of student learning by engaging the students in classroom activities such as discussions, projects, performances, assignments, and quizzes. During these activities, the teacher uses effective questioning techniques to sample a representative cross section of students. The teacher's questions are appropriate to the content, the activities, and the students. The teacher determines the students' level of understanding of key concepts and skills by carefully observing/listening to and analyzing students' verbal and nonverbal responses and reactions, inquiries, approaches to the task, performance, and final products.

## 7.B The teacher enhances student learning by using information from informal and formal assessments to guide instruction.

The teacher systematically collects, analyzes, and summarizes assessment data to monitor students' progress. On the basis of formal and informal assessment information, the teacher makes appropriate decisions regarding instruction. When his or her students have difficulty answering questions, the teacher

provides appropriate response time, rephrases the question, and/or provides prompts or other such assistance. The teacher provides additional explanations, demonstrations, or assistance, and modifies the content and/or the instructional strategies when necessary. The teacher adjusts the pace of the lessons to conform to the needs of the students. The teacher promotes student retention of the content by actively engaging the students in reviews of the key elements, steps, or procedures as necessary. The teacher extends students' learning and development through appropriate enrichment activities.

### 7.C The teacher enhances student learning by providing appropriate instructional feedback to all students.

The teacher provides feedback to the students throughout the lesson. The teacher also provides feedback on all significant student work. The teacher's feedback—whether oral, written, or nonverbal—is equitable (i.e., provided to all students) and individualized. The feedback is accurate, constructive, substantive, specific, and timely. The feedback is effective in helping correct students' misunderstandings or errors, reinforcing their knowledge and skills, and/or extending their learning.

## APS 8 Maintaining an Environment That Promotes Learning

An effective teacher creates and maintains a classroom environment that encourages and supports student learning.

In this context, the term *environment* refers to both the physical surroundings and the affective climate of the classroom. This standard focuses on environmental factors that a teacher can reasonably be expected to control.

#### **KEY ELEMENTS**

This standard requires the teacher to demonstrate the following abilities and dispositions:

## 8.A The teacher creates and maintains the physical environment of his or her classroom as a safe place that is conducive to learning.

The teacher's classroom arrangement allows all students to see, hear, and participate during instruction. The classroom is free from clutter and distractions that impede learning. The teacher ensures that all materials are safely and properly stored and that all applicable safety regulations and precautions are followed. Classroom displays feature items of educational relevance and interest, including current samples of student work as appropriate.

### 8.B The teacher creates and maintains a positive affective climate in his or her classroom.

The teacher conveys confidence in his or her ability to teach the lesson content and to work with diverse groups of students. The teacher exhibits the enthusiasm necessary to generate interest in the subject matter and the patience and sensitivity necessary to assist and support all students, regardless of their social and cultural backgrounds or intellectual abilities. The teacher shows respect for the feelings, ideas, and contributions of all students and encourages the students to do likewise.

## 8.C The teacher creates and maintains a culture of learning in his or her classroom.

The teacher exemplifies and emphasizes initiative, industriousness, inquisitiveness, and excellence and, by doing so, encourages the students to do likewise. The teacher facilitates cooperation and teamwork among students and provides them with appropriate incentives and rewards for learning. The teacher works to ensure that every student feels a sense of belonging in the classroom. To the extent appropriate, the teacher invites student input and suggestions when designing instructional activities and events.

## APS 9 Managing the Classroom

An effective teacher maximizes instructional time by efficiently managing student behavior, instructional routines and materials, and essential non-instructional tasks.

#### **KEY ELEMENTS**

This standard requires the teacher to demonstrate the following abilities and dispositions:

#### 9.A The teacher manages student behavior appropriately.

The teacher's behavioral rules and consequences are appropriate for the students and are consistent with district and school policies. These rules and consequences are clearly conveyed to the students and are enforced in a fair and consistent manner. The teacher maintains a constant awareness of classroom events and activities. The teacher uses effective preventive discipline techniques (e.g., eye contact, facial expressions, proximity) and handles any disruptions in an appropriate and timely manner. Disciplinary actions focus on the inappropriate behaviors and not on the students themselves. The teacher encourages students to monitor and assume responsibility for their own behavior.

#### 9.B The teacher makes maximal use of instructional time.

The teacher ensures that his or her students are engaged in meaningful academic learning throughout the instructional period. Instructional materials, resources, and technologies are useable, well organized, and accessible. In general, instruction is characterized by a smooth flow of activity.

## 9.C The teacher manages essential non-instructional routines in an efficient manner.

It is evident that the teacher has clearly communicated to his or her students the rules and procedures for safety routines (e.g., fire drills, tornado drills, emergency preparedness) and classroom operations (e.g., roll call, collecting or turning in assignments, obtaining and distributing instructional materials, keeping work stations or lab areas in order). Transitions between activities or classes are handled in an efficient and orderly manner, with supervision provided as is necessary and appropriate.

## APS 10 Fulfilling Professional Responsibilities

An effective teacher is an ethical, responsible, contributing, and ever-learning member of the profession.

#### **KEY ELEMENTS**

This standard requires the teacher to demonstrate the following abilities and dispositions:

#### 10.A The teacher is an advocate for the students.

The teacher collaborates with colleagues, administrators, and other student-oriented professionals (e.g., curriculum specialists, counselors, library media specialists, speech-language therapists, nurses) to determine the needs of his or her students and to plan and provide them with the appropriate learning experiences and assessments. The teacher establishes appropriate professional relationships with agencies, businesses, and community groups that support the well-being of students.

# 10.B The teacher works to achieve organizational goals in order to make the entire school a positive and productive learning environment for the students.

The teacher regularly attends and contributes to departmental meetings, faculty meetings, strategic planning sessions, and the like. The teacher actively supports the efforts of school organizations such as parent-teacher groups and school improvement councils. To the extent that is possible and appropriate, the teacher supports extracurricular activities that contribute to the overall learning and development of students (e.g., academic clubs, student council, athletics, cultural/artistic events).

#### 10.C The teacher is an effective communicator.

Both inside and outside the classroom, the teacher's spoken and written language is clear, correct, and appropriate for each target audience (e.g., students, parents, colleagues, related professionals). The teacher communicates with parents/guardians on a regular basis about goals and expectations for student learning, behavioral rules and consequences, assignments, suggestions for supporting student learning at home, assessment results, and student progress and performance. The teacher responds appropriately to parental concerns. The teacher uses a variety of formats (e.g., telephone contacts, meetings, conferences, letters/newsletters, Web sites, report cards, notes, emails, interactive journals) to maintain effective and ongoing communication with others.

#### 10.D The teacher exhibits professional demeanor and behavior.

The teacher maintains a valid teaching certificate; complies with all professional, school, and district rules, policies, and procedures; and is cognizant of the policies set forth in the SDE publication *Standards of Conduct for South Carolina Educators*. The teacher's performance is characteristic of a professional in terms of self-management (e.g., responsibility, initiative, time management, appearance), ethical standards, and quality of work (e.g., completing required tasks in an accurate, timely, and effective manner).

#### 10.E The teacher is an active learner.

The teacher is a reflective practitioner who systematically collects, synthesizes, and evaluates student-achievement data in order to accurately identify his or her own professional strengths and weaknesses and to gain professional insight and vision regarding ways to enhance student learning. As a result of this self-assessment, the teacher collaborates with his or her supervisor(s) to develop an appropriate individualized professional growth plan. Additionally, the teacher regularly seeks out, participates in, and contributes to activities that promote collaboration and that support his or her continued professional growth (e.g., participation in professional associations, courses, conferences, workshops, seminars).

#### **TARGETED COURSE PORTFOLIO**

The Targeted Course Portfolio satisfies the state's requirement for a Long-Range Plan (LRP) and Unit Work Sample (UWS). Each component of this document is aligned with key elements found in ADEPT Performance Standards (APSs) 1, 2, and 3. The document should be completed using the same course identified for the Student Learning Objective (SLO) so that information creates a comprehensive picture of the selected course.

Teacher's Name:	
Contract Level:	District: Charleston County Schools
School:	Academic Year:
SLO Course/Class Period:	
Sec	ction I. ACTION PLAN
Key Element 1.A: Student Population	
to, the following: the number of studer (e.g., learning disability, gifted and tale description of academic supports provious any classroom supports that students referenced to the control of the contr	udent population. Information should include, but is not limited nts in the class, a description of students with exceptionalities nted, English language learner [ELL] status, etc.) and a ded to students (e.g., extended time, resource with EC teacher, receive to help them access the core curriculum).
Key Element 1.B: Learning Goal (Objective)	
•	will be able to do at the end of the SLO interval/course. The nd aligned with course or grade level content standards and

Key Element 1.C: Course Content and Pacing Gui	de
--	----

 Describe the major course content; include course standards and/or developmental goals. Please note that this section must include information for the *entire* length of the course.

Unit Topic or Description	Key Concepts/Skills and Correlated Standards	Unit Length (i.e., number of days per unit and implementation timeframe)

#### **Key Element 1.D: Progress Monitoring Key**

 How frequently will you progress monitor students' mastery of the content? Indicate what ongoing sources of evidence you will collect in order to monitor student progress.

#### Key Element 1.E: Instructional and Behavior Management Strategies

- Describe the best instructional practices you will use to teach this content to students. Include how instruction will be differentiated based on data. What interventions will be used if more assistance is needed during the learning process?
- Describe the expectations for students during instructional and non-instructional times.

#### Section II. UNIT PLAN

Title of Targeted Unit: _	 	 
Dates of unit: From	to	

A unit is defined as a set of integrated lessons designed to accomplish learning objectives related to one or more curricular themes, areas of knowledge, and/or general skills or processes.

The following criteria apply when selecting the unit for the Unit Plan:

- The document should be completed using the same course identified for the Student Learning Objective (SLO) and for Section I of this document (Action Plan).
- Since the purpose of the Unit Plan is to analyze student growth, it cannot be based on the first unit in the course.
- The unit must be completed in its entirety during the evaluation period and prior to the due date of the Unit Plan.
- The unit must cover a minimum of two or more weeks.
- All early childhood and elementary teachers through grade six must develop a targeted unit from the SLO course in the area of English language arts or reading.

\*Refer to the SAFE-T manual for additional information.

#### **Key Element 2.A: Unit Objectives**

Provide the major objectives of the unit. As a general guide, include 3-5 objectives. Correlate the objectives to the appropriate grade-level standards.

	Major Unit Objectives	Standards/Competencies Correlation
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		

**Reflect on the unit objectives:** How did you craft these objectives so that your students understand both the objectives and their relevance?

#### Key Element 2.B: Instructional Plan

Provide the sequence of lessons that you need to follow if your students are to achieve the unit objectives. Every lesson must include the objective(s), content, and instruction.

Lesson Number	Content	Instruction
& Objective(s)	(For <u>each</u> lesson include <i>materials &amp; resources</i> )	(For <u>each</u> lesson include sequence, differentiation, and student application.)

Reflect on the instructional plan for the unit: How does this instructional plan establish a balance between expectations of grade level academic standards and the needs, abilities, and developmental levels of individual students?

#### **Key Element 2.C: Response to Formative Analysis**

Reflect on the findings of the formative analysis: Did you need to adjust your instructional plan, or do you foresee the need to make adjustments to future instructional plans for this group of students? Why or why not?

#### **Key Element 3.A: Unit Assessments**

Provide the grading profile for the Targeted Course Unit Plan.

	Assessment of Student Performance				
Assessment Type (e.g., homework, classwork, writing samples, tests)	Evaluation Criteria (e.g., completion, accuracy, rubrics)	Graded Weights (e.g., percentages, point values)	Maintaining Records (e.g., Power School)		

List and/or describe the key unit assessments. A copy of each key assessment must be embedded in this template. Key assessments include quizzes, tests, projects, performance tasks, etc. Please note that the assessments included here are used within this unit – these are not the assessments used for the SLO (e.g., MAP data, performance tasks from the SLO, etc.).

Key Unit Assessments	Objective(s) Measured	Type of As (Check on assess	
		Teacher-Made	Commercially Available

**Reflect on the unit assessments:** Why were these assessments selected? What accommodations, if any, were made to meet the needs of your students?

#### **Key Element 3.B: Analysis of Student Performance**

Embed the records of student performance from the unit (e.g., Power School printouts, copy of gradebook, checklists). Scores for each student's key assessments must be included.

Reflect on the analysis of student performance: In what way(s) did this information

- (1) increase your understanding of your students' strengths and weaknesses, and
- (2) determine specific aspects of instruction that need to be modified?

#### **Key Element 3.C: Summative Results**

**Reflect on the summative results:** Do the overall results accurately reflect your students' progress and achievement relative to the unit objectives? Why or why not?

### TT3: Reflection on Instruction and Student Learning

Teacher's name		Contract level
District		School
Со	urse	Date of observation
Th	is lesson was part of which unit?	
	what approximate point in the unit did s lesson fall?	☐ Beginning ☐ Middle ☐ End
Ob	server's Name	
you sho	structions to the teacher: Please reflect on u were being observed. Responses to eac ould average approximately 100 words propriate and available.	h of the following nine sets of questions
1.	What were the objectives of this lesson understood the overall purpose and relevan	
2.	What effect did your teaching strategies ha and keeping your students meaningfully en	
3.	Why was the content of the lesson approp did you organize the content? (APS 6.A–C)	
4.	How did you assess student learning due (APS 7.A)	ring the lesson? What were the results?
5.	Did you need to make any adjustments de 7.B)	uring the lesson? Why or why not? (APS
6.	What types of feedback did or will you performance, and why? (APS 7.C)	provide to the students regarding their

- 7. In what way(s) and to what extent did the classroom environment impact your instruction and student learning, either positively or negatively? (APS 8.A–C; APS 9.A–C)
- 8. What decisions did you make regarding subsequent instruction for these students, and why? (APSs 4-9)
- 9. What did you learn as a result of teaching this lesson? (APSs 4-9)

### **TT4: Professional Self-Assessment**

Teacher's name		Contract level Annual Continuing	
District		School	
Gr	ade level(s)/Subject area(s)		
Ac	ademic year	Evaluation cycle	☐ Preliminary ☐ Final
10	structions to the teacher: Please reflect .E). Responses to each of the following proximately 100 words or less.		
1.	In terms of the ADEPT Performance strengths? How have you built on these so in the future?		
2.	In terms of the ADEPT Performance challenges? How do you plan to address to		t are your professional
3.	Describe your students' overall progress gained into ways to improve student progre		<del>_</del>
4.	Describe the ways in which you are participation in professional association seminars). How do you plan to do so in the	ons, courses, c	
5.	Based on your professional self-assess important professional goal for you to esta		

### ET1: Classroom Observation Record

Teacher's na	ame: Course:	
District:	School:	
Date:	Time: fromto	
Lesson topic	:: Observer:	
	Domain 2: Instruction	
	ABLISHING AND MAINTAINING HIGH EXPECTATIONS FOR	
MAINTAIN	TIVE TEACHER ESTABLISHES, CLEARLY COMMUNICATES, AND SAPPROPRIATE EXPECTATIONS FOR STUDENT LEARNING, ATION, AND RESPONSIBILITY.	
the purpo	the teacher expect the students to learn from the lesson? How did the teacher convey se and relevance of the lesson to the students? In what ways did the students ate that they understood what the teacher expected for them to learn?	
teacher co assignme	the teacher expect the students to do during and after the lesson? How did the onvey expectations for student participation and for accomplishing related nts and tasks? In what ways did the students demonstrate that they understood what er expected them to do?	
C. How did the teacher help the students take ownership of the learning (e.g., by making the learning relevant to the students, using scaffolding, providing opportunities for students to engage in self- assessment and reflection, teaching compensatory strategies when necessary)?		
AN EFFEC	NG INSTRUCTIONAL STRATEGIES TO FACILITATE LEARNING TIVE TEACHER PROMOTES STUDENT LEARNING THROUGH THE E USE OF APPROPRIATE INSTRUCTIONAL STRATEGIES.	
A. What inst	ructional strategies did the teacher use during the lesson?	
B. In what w	vays did the teacher vary the instructional strategies during the lesson, and why?	
	dence suggests that the instructional strategies were—or were not—effective in terms ting student learning and success?	

#### **APS 6: PROVIDING CONTENT FOR LEARNERS**

# AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER POSSESSES A THOROUGH KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING OF THE DISCIPLINE SO THAT HE OR SHE IS ABLE TO PROVIDE THE APPROPRIATE CONTENT FOR THE LEARNER.

- A. What evidence suggests that the teacher did—or did not—have a thorough knowledge and understanding of the content? If content errors were made, did the teacher recognize and correct them?
- B. What was the content of the lesson, and how did the content relate to the learners and the learning?
- C. How did the teacher organize and present the content in order to make it clear and meaningful to the students and to promote higher levels of knowledge, skills, and/or cognitive processing?

### APS 7: MONITORING, ASSESSING, AND ENHANCING LEARNING

# AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER MAINTAINS A CONSTANT AWARENESS OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE THROUGHOUT THE LESSON IN ORDER TO GUIDE INSTRUCTION AND PROVIDE APPROPRIATE FEEDBACK TO STUDENTS.

- A. How did the teacher monitor student engagement, understanding, and performance during the lesson?
- B. What adjustments, if any, did the teacher make during the lesson, and why?
- C. What types of instructional feedback did the teacher provide to the students, and how effective was the feedback in terms of enhancing student learning?

#### **Domain 3: Environment**

#### APS 8: MAINTAINING AN ENVIRONMENT THAT PROMOTES LEARNING AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER CREATES AND MAINTAINS A CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT THAT ENCOURAGES AND SUPPORTS STUDENT LEARNING.

- A. Describe the physical environment of the classroom.
- B. What type of affective climate did the teacher create for the students?

#### APS 8: MAINTAINING AN ENVIRONMENT THAT PROMOTES LEARNING AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER CREATES AND MAINTAINS A CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT THAT ENCOURAGES AND SUPPORTS STUDENT LEARNING.

C. In what ways did the teacher establish a culture of learning in the classroom (e.g., by facilitating inquisitiveness, motivation to learn, cooperation, teamwork)?

#### APS 9: MANAGING THE CLASSROOM

# AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER MAXIMIZES INSTRUCTIONAL TIME BY EFFICIENTLY MANAGING STUDENT BEHAVIOR, INSTRUCTIONAL ROUTINES AND MATERIALS, AND ESSENTIAL NONINSTRUCTIONAL TASKS.

- A. What were the teacher's expectations for student behavior? In what ways did the students demonstrate that they understood the ways in which they were expected to behave? How did the teacher address inappropriate student behaviors, if any, during the lesson?
- B. In what ways did the teacher maximize—or fail to maximize—instructional time?
- C. How did the teacher manage noninstructional routines and transitions between activities and/or classes?

Additional comments: (optional)

#### ET2: Professional Performance Review

Note to the administrator: This teacher is currently participating in the Summative ADEPT Formal Evaluation of Classroom-Based Teachers (SAFE-T) process. Since you supervise this teacher, you are being asked to complete this Professional Performance Review twice during this school year—once at mid-year and again toward the end of the year. Please respond to each of the prompts below, including specific examples in each of your responses, and return this completed form to the chair of the teacher's evaluation team.

Tea	acher's name	Contract level		
District		School		
Gra	ade level(s)/Subject area(s)			
Ac	ademic year	Evaluation cycle Preliminary Final		
Na	me of administrator completing this review			
Tit	le of administrator	Date of review		
1.	Describe the extent to which this teacher colla students.	borates with others to advocate for the		
2.	2. Describe the extent to which this teacher is an active participant in the professional learning community and works to achieve organizational goals in order to make the entire school a positive and productive learning environment for the students.			
3.	Describe this teacher's written and oral communic	ation skills.		
4.	Describe this teacher's professional demeanor and	behavior.		
5.	Other comments about this teacher in terms of (optional)	of his or her professional performance:		



### **Office of Educator Effectiveness**

### MODIFIED SAFE-T SUMMARY (ET3) 2016-17 ACADEMIC YEAR

Teacher			Contract Level	
District	Charleston County S	chools	School	
GradeLeve	el(s)/SubjectArea(s)			
	erformance Standard lassroom-Based Tead	and the state of t	Consensu	s Judgment
Domain	Key Elements Met (Preliminary)	Key Elements Met(Final)	Preliminary	Final
Domain1:	11	11	Exemplary[11]	Exemplary[11]
Planning APS 1 APS 2	Total:	Total:	Proficient[10]	Proficient[10]
APS 3	☐Met (≥ 10) ☐Not Met (≤ 9)	Met (≥ 10)  Not Met (≤ 9)	Needs Improvement[4-9]  Unsatisfactory[0-3]	☐ Needs Improvement[4-9] ☐ Unsatisfactory[0-3]
Domain2:	12	12	Exemplary[12]	Exemplary[12]
APS 4	Total:	Total:	Proficient[11]	Proficient[11]
APS 5 APS 6	☐Met (≥ 11)	Met (≥ 11)	Needs Improvement[4-10]	Needs Improvement[4-10]
APS 7	Not Met (≤ 10)		Unsatisfactory[0-3]	Unsatisfactory[0-3]
	6	6	Exemplary[6]	Exemplary[6]
Domain3: Environment APS 8	Total:	Total:	Proficient[5]	Proficient[5]
APS 9		Met (≥ 5)	Needs Improvement[3-4]	Needs Improvement[3-4]
	Not Met (≤ 4)	Not Met (≤4)	Unsatisfactory[0-2]	Unsatisfactory[0-2]
Domain4:	5	5	Exemplary[5]	Exemplary[5]
Professionalism APS 10	Total:	Total:	Proficient[4]	Proficient[4]
	Met (≥ 4)	Met (≥ 4)	Needs Improvement[3]	Needs Improvement[3]
	Not Met $(\leq 3)$		Unsatisfactory[0-2]	Unsatisfactory[0-2]

Overall Professional Practice Judgment  An overall judgment of met indicates that the teacher achieves the criterion level in all four domains.		Overall Preliminary Professional Practice Score	Overall Final Professional Practice Score
Preliminary	Final	Exemplary	Exemplary
Met	Met	Must score Exemplary in all domains	Must score Exemplary in all domains
Must score met in all domains	Must score met in all domains	Proficient	Proficient
□Not Met  Any 1 domain rating of not met	Not Met  Any 1 domain rating of not met	No score less than Proficient in any I domain	No score less than Proficient in any I domain
Thy I domain raining of sections		Needs Improvement	Needs Improvement
		Any 1 domain rating of Needs Improvement, and no rating of Unsatisfactory	Any 1 domain rating of Needs Improvement, and no rating of Unsatisfactory
1		Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory
		Any I domain rating of Unsatisfactory	Any 1 domain rating of Unsatisfactory
Evaluators in the Preliminary Period  By signing below, I verify that:  (1) SAFE-T was properly implemented,  (2) I was a full participant in the process, and  (3) I am in agreement with the above judgments.  Evaluators' signatures and date		Evaluators in the Final Period By signing below, I verify that:  (1) SAFE-T was properly implement (2) I was a full participant in the pro (3) I am in agreement with the above  Evaluators' signatures and date	cess, and
Teacher in the Preliminary Period  By signing below, I verify that I have received the results of this evaluation. My signature does not necessarily imply that I agree with these results.  Teacher's signature and date		Teacher in the Final Period  By signing below, I verify that I have a My signature does not necessarily important the Final Period  Teacher's signature and date	received the results of this evaluation.  By that I agree with these results.

Domain 1: Planning APS 1: Long-Range Planning		
APS 1.A Student Population and Historical and Trend Data: The teacher obtains student information, analyzes this information to determine the learning needs of all students, and uses this information to guide instructional planning.		
The teacher		
provided to students; and	per of students, students with exceptionalities, academic supports	
<ul> <li>describes applicable past data for students, inc source(s), and the relevance of the overall cour</li> </ul>	luding: students' level of knowledge prior to instruction, data see objectives.	
Preliminary Rating for APS 1.A	Final Rating for APS 1.A	
☐ Met	Met (Based on preliminary TCP)	
☐ Not Met	☐ Met (Based on new/revised TCP)	
	Not Met (Based on new/revised TCP)	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 1.A Rating	Rationale for Final APS 1.A Rating	
APS 1.B Learning Goal (Objective) and Standard(s): The teacher establishes appropriate standards-based long-range learning and developmental goals for all students.  The teacher		
<ul> <li>describes what students will be able to do at the</li> </ul>	ne end of the SLO interval:	
	- or grade-level content standards and curriculum;	
<del>-</del>	rs that align to the SLO learning goal (objective); and	
<ul> <li>develops a goal that captures major content, b</li> </ul>		
Preliminary Rating for APS 1.B Final Rating for APS 1.B		
Met	Met (Based on preliminary TCP)	
☐ Not Met	Met (Based on new/revised TCP)	
	Not Met (Based on new/revised TCP)	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 1.B Rating	Rationale for Final APS 1.B Rating	
APS 1.C Course Content and Pacing Guide: The teacher identifies and sequences instructional units in a manner that		
facilitates accomplishment of the long-range goals.		
The teacher		
■ includes a description of the major course content, including long-range learning and/or developmental goals; and		
describes the instructional units used in the course or class.		
Preliminary Rating for APS 1.C	Final Rating for APS 1.C	
☐ Met	Met (Based on preliminary TCP)	
Not Met	Met (Based on new/revised TCP)	
	Not Met (Based on new/revised TCP)	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 1.C Rating Rationale for Final APS 1.C Rating		

APS 1.D Baseline Data, Post Assessment, and Progress Monitoring: The teacher develops appropriate processes for evaluating and recording students' progress and achievement.		
The teacher		
<ul> <li>describes which pre-assessment(s) will be used to measure student learning and why the assessment is appropriate for measuring the objective(s);</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>provides baseline assessment results for all stu</li> </ul>	dents;	
<ul> <li>provides the pre-assessment and grading scale</li> </ul>	and/or rubric used to score the assessment;	
<ul> <li>provides the post assessment and describes its</li> </ul>	alignment to the pre-assessment;	
<ul> <li>indicates the frequency with which progress m</li> </ul>	onitoring will occur; and	
<ul> <li>indicates the sources of evidence that will be or</li> </ul>	ollected to monitor student progress.	
Preliminary Rating for APS 1.D	Final Rating for APS 1.D	
Met	Met (Based on preliminary TCP)	
☐ Not Met	☐ Met (Based on new/revised TCP)	
□ 1001 mor	Not Met (Based on new/revised TCP)	
Datianala for Bustinsinom ABC 1 D Beting	Rationale for Final APS 1.D Rating	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 1.D Rating	Rationale for Final AFS 1.D Rating	
APS 1.E Behavior Management Strategies: The teache	r plans appropriate procedures for managing the classroom.	
The teacher		
<ul> <li>describes expectations for students during inst</li> </ul>	ructional and non-instructional times.	
Preliminary Rating for APS 1.E Final Rating for APS 1.E		
☐ Met	☐ <b>Met</b> (Based on preliminary TCP)	
Not Met	■Met (Based on new/revised TCP)	
	Not Met (Based on new/revised TCP)	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 1.E Rating	Rationale for Final APS 1.E Rating	
Domain 1: Planning	APS 2: Short-Range Planning of Instruction	
APS 2.A The teacher develops unit objectives that facilitate student achievement of appropriate academic standards and long-range learning and developmental goals.		
The teacher		
<ul> <li>identifies appropriate unit objectives; and</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>gives a sound explanation of the relevance of these objectives to student learning needs and interests.</li> </ul>		
Preliminary Rating for APS 2.A	Final Rating for APS 2.A	
Met	Met (Based on preliminary TCP)	
☐ Not Met	Met (Based on new/revised TCP)	
	Not Met (Based on new/revised TCP)	

Domain 1: Planning	APS 2: Short-Range Planning of Instruction	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 2.A Rating	Rationale for Final APS 2.A Rating	
APS 2.B The teacher develops instructional plans that appropriate for the particular students.	at include content, strategies, materials, and resources that are	
The teacher		
presents an appropriate, logically sequenced i	instructional plan for the unit; and	
	nust be taken into consideration in balancing grade-level standards/	
Preliminary Rating for APS 2.B	Final Rating for APS 2.B	
☐ Met	☐ <b>Met</b> (Based on preliminary TCP)	
☐ Not Met	☐ Met (Based on new/revised TCP)	
	Not Met (Based on new/revised TCP)	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 2.B Rating	Rationale for Final APS 2.B Rating	
APS 2.C The teacher routinely uses student performa	ance data to guide short-range planning of instruction.	
The teacher		
	the need to make adjustments to the instructional plans; and	
<ul> <li>presents a solid rationale for making these de</li> </ul>	terminations.	
Preliminary Rating for APS 2.C	Final Rating for APS 2.C	
☐ Met	☐ <b>Met</b> (Based on preliminary TCP)	
☐ Not Met	☐ Met (Based on new/revised TCP)	
	Not Met (Based on new/revised TCP)	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 2.C Rating	Rationale for Final APS 2.C Rating	
Domain 1: Planning	APS 3: Planning Assessments and Using Data	
APS 3.A The teacher develops/selects and administe	ers a variety of appropriate assessments.	
The teacher		
develops and/or selects appropriate key unit assessments; and		
<ul> <li>presents sound evidence that these assessments are valid and reliable for all students.</li> </ul>		
Preliminary Rating for APS 3.A	Final Rating for APS 3.A	
☐ Met	☐ <b>Met</b> (Based on preliminary TCP)	
☐ Not Met	☐ <b>Met</b> (Based on new/revised TCP)	
	Not Met (Based on new/revised TCP)	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 3.A Rating	Rationale for Final APS 3.A Rating	

Domain 1: Planning	APS 3: Planning Assessments and Using Data
<ul> <li>information to guide instructional planning.</li> <li>The teacher</li> <li>provides an appropriate and accurate analysis</li> <li>displays sound reasoning in describing the way</li> </ul>	is and accurately analyzes student performance data and uses this as of student performance; and asy(s) in which this information was helpful in determining individual as aspects of instruction that need to be modified.
Preliminary Rating for APS 3.B  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Preliminary APS 3.B Rating	Final Rating for APS 3.B  Met (Based on preliminary TCP)  Met (Based on new/revised TCP)  Not Met (Based on new/revised TCP)  Rationale for Final APS 3.B Rating
APS 3.C The teacher uses assessment data to assign grades (or other indicators) that accurately reflect student progress and achievement.  The teacher  uses appropriate methods for determining student grades (or other performance indicators) for the unit;  appropriately and accurately summarizes overall student performance for the unit; and  provides a well-thought-out summary of the overall "success" of the unit, based on overall student performance.	
Preliminary Rating for APS 3.C  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Preliminary APS 3.C Rating	Final Rating for APS 3.C  Met (Based on preliminary TCP)  Met (Based on new/revised TCP)  Not Met (Based on new/revised TCP)  Rationale for Final APS 3.C Rating
Targeted Course Portfolio (TCP) Requirement for the Final Evaluation Period  A new/revised TCP is not required.  A new/revised TCP is required.  Comments	
Domain 2: Instruction	APS 4: Establishing and Maintaining High Expectations for Learners
The teacher  establishes appropriately high expectations f	d maintains high expectations for student achievement.  or student achievement; and what they are expected to learn (i.e., to know and be able to do) and

(b) the overall purpose and relevance (i.e., why they are expected to know and/or be able to do it).

Domain 2: Instruction	APS 4: Establishing and Maintaining High Expectations for Learners		
Preliminary Rating for APS 4.A	Final Rating for APS 4.A		
☐ Met	☐Met		
Not Met	☐ Not Met		
Rationale for Preliminary APS 4.A Rating	Rationale for Final APS 4.A Rating		
APS 4.B The teacher establishes, communicates, and	maintains high expectations for student participation.		
The teacher			
<ul> <li>establishes appropriate expectations for stude</li> </ul>	ent participation; and		
<ul> <li>effectively communicates to the students the for accomplishing related assignments and tas</li> </ul>	expectations (a) for student participation during the lesson and (b) ks.		
Preliminary Rating for APS 4.B	Final Rating for APS 4.B		
☐ Met	☐Met		
Not Met	☐ Not Met		
Rationale for Preliminary APS 4.B Rating	Rationale for Final APS 4.B Rating		
<ul> <li>APS 4.C The teacher helps students assume responsibility for their own participation and learning.</li> <li>The teacher</li> <li>helps the students take ownership of the learning and become active agents in the learning process (e.g., by making the learning relevant to the students, using scaffolding, providing opportunities for students to engage in self- assessment, reflection, and higher level skills); and</li> <li>assists the students in developing strategies to compensate for their weaknesses when it is necessary.</li> </ul>			
Preliminary Rating for APS 4.C	Final Rating for APS 4.C		
, ∪ Met	☐Met		
☐ Not Met	☐ Not Met		
Rationale for Preliminary APS 4.C Rating	Rationale for Final APS 4.C Rating		
Domain 2: Instruction	APS 5: Using Instructional Strategies to Facilitate Learning		
APS 5.A The teacher uses appropriate instructional s	trategies.		
The teacher uses instructional strategies that are			
appropriate for the content; and appropriate for the actual acts.			
appropriate for the students.			
Preliminary Rating for APS 5.A	Final Rating for APS 5.A		
☐ Met	∐Met		
Not Met	☐ Not Met		

Domain 2: Instruction	APS 5: Using Instructional Strategies to Facilitate Learning	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 5.A Rating	Rationale for Final APS 5.A Rating	
APS 5.B The teacher uses a variety of instructional str		
The teacher uses a variety of instructional strategies (for every lesson) to	hat is, the teacher does not always rely on the same strategy for	
<ul><li>convey information; and</li></ul>		
<ul><li>involve and engage the students.</li></ul>		
Preliminary Rating for APS 5.B	Final Rating for APS 5.B	
☐ Met	<b></b> Met	
☐ Not Met	☐ Not Met	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 5.B Rating	Rationale for Final APS 5.B Rating	
APS 5.C The teacher uses instructional strategies effo	ectively.	
The teacher's effective use of instructional strategies i		
<ul><li>meaningful student learning; and</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>opportunities for all students to be engaged in</li> </ul>	n the learning and to experience success.	
Preliminary Rating for APS 5.C	Final Rating for APS 5.C	
Met	☐Met	
☐ Not Met	☐ Not Met	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 5.C Rating	Rationale for Final APS 5.C Rating	
·		
Domain 2: Instruction	APS 6: Providing Content for Learners	
APS 6.A The teacher demonstrates a thorough comn	nand of the discipline that he or she teaches.	
The teacher		
<ul><li>provides content that is accurate and current;</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>identifies and explains/demonstrates conceptual relationships and/or procedural steps; and</li> </ul>		
recognizes and corrects content errors when they occur.		
Preliminary Rating for APS 6.A	Final Rating for APS 6.A	
Met	Met	
☐ Not Met	☐ Not Met	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 6.A Rating	Rationale for Final APS 6.A Rating	

Domain 2: Instruction	APS 6: Providing Content for Learners	
APS 6.B The teacher provides appropriate content.  The teacher  provides content that is appropriate to the learning;  provides content that is appropriate to the learners; and when possible, provides content that expands students' perspectives.		
Preliminary Rating for APS 6.B  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Preliminary APS 6.B Rating	Final Rating for APS 6.B  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Final APS 6.B Rating	
APS 6.C The teacher structures the content to promote meaningful learning.  The teacher  organizes the content in a logical sequence;  makes the content relevant, meaningful, and applicable to the students;  promotes higher level of knowledge and cognitive processing; and  clarifies the content when students exhibit difficulties.		
Preliminary Rating for APS 6.C  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Preliminary APS 6.C Rating	Final Rating for APS 6.C  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Final APS 6.C Rating	
Domain 2: Instruction	APS 7: Monitoring, Assessing, and Enhancing Learning	
APS 7.A The teacher continually monitors student learning during instruction by using a variety of informal and formal assessment strategies.  The teacher maintains a constant awareness of student learning by  engaging the students in activities such as discussions, projects, performances, assignments, and quizzes;  using effective questioning techniques; and  observing/listening to and analyzing students' verbal and nonverbal responses and reactions, inquiries, approaches to the task, performances, and final products.		
Preliminary Rating for APS 7.A  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Preliminary APS 7.A Rating	Final Rating for APS 7.A  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Final APS 7.A Rating	

Domain 2: Instruction	APS 7: Monitoring, Assessing, and Enhancing Learning	
APS 7.B The teacher enhances student learning by using information from informal and formal assessments to guide instruction.  The teacher  makes appropriate decisions regarding the need to make adjustments during the lesson; and effectively implements any needed adjustments.		
Preliminary Rating for APS 7.B  Met  Not Met	Final Rating for APS 7.B  Met  Not Met	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 7.B Rating	Rationale for Final APS 7.B Rating	
APS 7.C The teacher enhances student learning by providing appropriate instructional feedback to students.  The teacher  provides feedback to students throughout the lesson;  provides feedback to students on all significant student work; and  provides feedback that is accurate, constructive, substantive, specific, and timely.		
Preliminary Rating for APS 7.C  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Preliminary APS 7.C Rating	Final Rating for APS 7.C  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Final APS 7.C Rating	
Domain 3: Environment	APS 8: Maintaining an Environment that Promotes Learning	
APS 8.A The teacher creates and maintains the physical environment of his or her classroom as a safe place that is conducive to learning.  The teacher creates and maintains a physical environment that  is safe; and is conducive to learning.  Preliminary Rating for APS 8.A  Met  Not Met  Not Met  Rationale for Preliminary APS 8.A Rating  Rationale for Final APS 8.A Rating		
APS 8.B The teacher creates and maintains a positive The teacher displays confidence and enthusiasm; and maintains positive and respectful relationships		

Domain 3: Environment	APS 8: Maintaining an Environment that Promotes Learning	
Preliminary Rating for APS 8.B	Final Rating for APS 8.B	
☐ Met	∐Met	
∐ Not Met	☐ Not Met	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 8.B Rating	Rationale for Final APS 8.B Rating	
APS 8.C The teacher creates and maintains a culture of learning in his or her classroom.  The teacher  creates a culture of learning by facilitating inquisitive, motivation to learn, cooperation, and teamwork, and by being an active learner; and  works to ensure that every student is a "learner."  Preliminary Rating for APS 8.C  Met  Met  Not Met  Not Met		
Rationale for Preliminary APS 8.C Rating	Rationale for Final APS 8.C Rating	
Domain 3: Environment	APS 9: Managing the Classroom	
APS 9.A The teacher manages student behavior appro	opriately.	
The teacher  establishes and communicates appropriate be	havioral rules and consequences:	
<ul> <li>establishes and communicates appropriate be</li> <li>maintains a constant awareness of events and</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>uses effective preventive discipline techniques</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>handles inappropriate behaviors in an effective</li> </ul>	e and timely manner.	
Preliminary Rating for APS 9.A	Final Rating for APS 9.A	
☐ Met	☐Met	
☐ Not Met	☐ Not Met	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 9.A Rating	Rationale for Final APS 9.A Rating	
APS 9.B The teacher makes maximal use of instruction	onal time.	
The teacher		
<ul> <li>ensures that the students are engaged in meaningful academic learning throughout the instructional period; and</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>organizes the classroom in a manner that promotes a smooth flow of activity.</li> </ul>		
Preliminary Rating for APS 9.B	Final Rating for APS 9.B	
☐ Met	Met	
☐ Not Met	☐ Not Met	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 9.B Rating	Rationale for Final APS 9.B Rating	

Domain 3: Environment	APS 9: Managing the Classroom	
APS 9.C The teacher manages essential non-instructional routines in an efficient manner.  The teacher  promotes the smooth flow of non-instructional routines; and manages transitions between activities or classes in an efficient and orderly manner.		
Preliminary Rating for APS 9.C  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Preliminary APS 9.C Rating	Final Rating for APS 9.C  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Final APS 9.C Rating	
Domain 4: Professionalism	APS 10: Fulfilling Professional Responsibilities	
APS 10.A The teacher is an advocate for the students.  The teacher  works effectively with colleagues to help determine and meet individual student needs; and establishes appropriate professional relationships with others outside of the school to support the well-being of students.		
Preliminary Rating for APS 10.A  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Preliminary APS 10.A Rating	Final Rating for APS 10.A  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Final APS 10.A Rating	
APS 10.B The teacher works to achieve organizational goals in order to make the entire school a positive and productive learning environment for the students.  The teacher  is an active contributor to school initiatives; and supports school-related organizations and activities.  Preliminary Rating for APS 10.B  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Preliminary APS 10.B Rating  Rationale for Final APS 10.B Rating		
APS 10.C The teacher is an effective communicator.  The teacher  uses clear and correct oral and written langua  communicates effectively and regularly with p		

Domain 4: Professionalism	APS 10: Fulfilling Professional Responsibilities			
Preliminary Rating for APS 10.C	Final Rating for APS 10.C			
☐ Met	☐Met			
☐ Not Met	Not Met			
Rationale for Preliminary APS 10.C Rating	Rationale for Final APS 10.C Rating			
APS 10.D The teacher exhibits professional demeand	or and behavior.			
The teacher				
<ul><li>maintains all required professional credentials</li></ul>				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Carolina Educators and maintains ethical standards; and			
<ul> <li>demonstrates self-management skills (e.g., responsibility, initiative, time management, appearance) and a high quality of work (e.g., completing required tasks in an accurate, timely, and effective manner).</li> </ul>				
Preliminary Rating for APS 10.D	Final Rating for APS 10.D			
☐ Met	☐Met			
☐ Not Met	☐ Not Met			
Rationale for Preliminary APS 10.D Rating	Rationale for Final APS 10.D Rating			
APS 10.E The teacher is an active learner.  The teacher  accurately identifies his or her own profession	al strengths and weaknesses:			
sets appropriate professional development goals; and				
<ul> <li>regularly seeks out, participates in, and contributes to activities that promote collaboration and that support his or her continued professional growth.</li> </ul>				
Preliminary Rating for APS 10.E	Final Rating for APS 10.E			
☐ Met ☐ Not Met	☐ <b>Met</b> (Based on preliminary Professional Self-Assessment)			
	Met (Based on new/revised Professional Self-Assessment)			
	☐ Not Met			
Rationale for Preliminary APS 10.E Rating	Rationale for Final APS 10.E Rating			
Professional Self-Assessment (PSA) Requirement for the Final Evaluation Period  A new/revised PSA is not required.  A new/revised PSA is required.				
Comments				

Modified ET3- Form E

**Additional Comments and Areas for Improvement** 

	(Oatlana)
	(Optional)
Preliminary Evaluation Period	Final Evaluation Period

### SAFE-T LOG (ET4)

Teacher's name SC Teachin		ng Certificate No.	
District	School		
Grade level(s)/Subject area(s)			
Academic year	Contract level	evel	
Evaluator/Chair	SC Teaching Certificate No.		
Evaluator	SC Teaching Certificate No.		
Evaluator	SC Teaching Certificate No.		
Preliminary Evaluation Period (PEP) The teacher received a comprehensive orientation to S	AFE-T.	Teacher's Initials	
Preliminary Evaluation Period (PEP) The teacher submitted the long-range plan.		Chair's Initials	
Preliminary Evaluation Period (PEP) The teacher submitted the unit work sample.		Chair's Initials	
Preliminary Evaluation Period (PEP) Integral classroom observation #1 for the PEP was co	Date onducted.	Evaluator's Initials	
Preliminary Evaluation Period (PEP) The teacher submitted the reflection on PEP observation	Date on #1.	Chair's Initials	
Preliminary Evaluation Period (PEP) Integral classroom observation #2 for the PEP was conducted.		Evaluator's Initials	
Preliminary Evaluation Period (PEP) The teacher submitted the reflection on PEP observation #2.		Chair's Initials	
Preliminary Evaluation Period (PEP) The professional performance review was submitted.		Chair's Initials	
Preliminary Evaluation Period (PEP) The teacher submitted the professional self-assessment.		Chair's Initials	
Preliminary Evaluation Period (PEP) The evaluation team consensus meeting was held.		Chair's Initials	
Preliminary Evaluation Period (PEP) The preliminary evaluation conference was held with the teacher.		Chair's Initials	

Comments and/or description of other SAFE-T related activities (e.g., additional integral classroom observations, additional reflections, walk-through observations) conducted during the preliminary evaluation period. *(optional)* 

Final Evaluation Period (FEP)	Date	Chair's Initials		
The teacher submitted the long-range plan, if required.				
Final Evaluation Period (FEP)	Date	Chair's Initials		
The teacher submitted the unit work sample, if required.				
Final Evaluation Period (FEP)	Date	Evaluator's Initials		
Integral classroom observation #1 for the FEP was				
conducted.				
Final Evaluation Period (FEP)	Date	Chair's Initials		
The teacher submitted the <b>reflection</b> on FEP observation #1.		I Designation		
Final Evaluation Period (FEP)	Date	Evaluator's Initials		
Integral classroom observation #2 for the FEP was				
conducted.				
Final Evaluation Period (FEP)	Date	Chair's Initials		
The teacher submitted the <b>reflection</b> on FEP observation #2.				
Final Evaluation Period (FEP)	Date	Chair's Initials		
The professional performance review was submitted.				
Final Evaluation Period (FEP)	Date	Chair's Initials		
The teacher submitted the professional self-assessment, if				
required.				
Final Evaluation Period (FEP)	Date	Chair's Initials		
The evaluation team consensus meeting was held.				
Final Evaluation Period (FEP)	Date	Chair's Initials		
The final evaluation conference was held with the teacher.				
G	ntivities (e.g.	additional integral		
Comments and/or description of other SAFE-T related acclassroom observations, additional reflections, walk-thi				
during the final evaluation period. (optional)		······································		
By signing below, I verify the accuracy of the above SAFE-T log.				
Evaluator	Date			
Evaluator	Date			
Evaluator				
Evaluator	Date			
Teacher	Date			