

2024-2025 Teacher Evaluation Handbook

Table of Contents

Evaluation Introduction	2
Student Data	3
Teacher Evaluation Committee Members	5
MPS Promise, Vision, Mission, Core Values, and Portrait of a Graduate	6
Mesa Public Schools Classroom Teacher Evaluation System	8
Teaching Performance	9
Teacher Observations	10
Probationary Status Teachers	10
Continuing Status Teachers	10
Alternative Evaluation Cycle Teachers	11
Pre and Post Observation Conferences	11
Final Evaluation	12
Appeal of Evaluation	12
Performance Rating	13
Highly Effective	13
Effective	13
Developing	13
Ineffective	13
Inadequate Classroom Performance	15
Professional Learning	16
Educators New to Mesa Public Schools or Educators New to Teaching	16
Professional Refinement Plan (Optional)	16
Professional Remediation Plan	16
Evaluation Timelines and Activities	17
Forms	19
Appendix	20
Appendix A - Teaching Performance Evaluation (2013 Danielson Framework for Teaching)	20
Appendix B - Summary of Domains	20
Appendix C - Domains, Components, and Elements of the Teaching Performance Evaluation	21
Appendix D - Examples of Evidence and Artifacts	22
Acknowledgements	25

Evaluation Introduction

In 2010, a state law was enacted to change the culture of education in Arizona and to improve how local education agencies evaluate classroom teachers. Arizona Revised Statute § 15-203(A)(38) requires the Arizona State Board of Education to adopt and maintain a model framework for a classroom teacher evaluation instrument which includes quantitative data on student academic progress. Furthermore, the statute states student academic progress shall account for 20 to 33 percent of the teacher evaluation outcomes. The Arizona State Board of Education approved the Arizona Framework for Measuring Educator Effectiveness, which complies with all legal requirements of the statute while providing school districts with some flexibility in developing their own classroom teacher evaluation systems. The state's framework requires:

- Annual evaluation of teachers
- Rubric for teaching performance as approved by the State Board of Education

Through a collaborative effort, Mesa Public Schools has developed a classroom teacher evaluation system that aligns with the state's framework and with our MPS Promise, Portrait of a Graduate, and the Five Strategic Goals.

The classroom teacher evaluation system is designed to enhance teaching and student achievement through targeted professional development and data-informed decision making. It is intended to bring clarity, conversation, and improvements to teaching and learning by:

- Providing a common district wide definition of effective teaching
- Embracing meaningful discussion and collaboration about teaching practices
- Focusing on continuous growth for all teachers
- Identifying and emphasizing strategies have the greatest impact on student learning

Student Data

Student data will account for 20% of the overall evaluation. The data point that will be utilized is school letter grades. This decision was made with the following considerations:

- All staff within a school or site impact the letter grade, allowing for a comprehensive assessment that considers diverse needs, performance levels, unique challenges, and achievements of all student demographics.
- Incorporating school letter grades as a data point in the evaluation is designed to be fair and consistent for all employees. By using a standardized measure that applies to every grade level, from preschool to grade 12, it creates a transparent and uniform evaluation process aligning with the District’s Promise and Portrait of a Graduate.

A school’s prior year letter grade will be used for a teacher’s current year evaluation. For example, the 2023-24 school year letter grade will be used for an employee’s 2024-25 school year evaluation. Employees who work at more than one location will use the letter grade from the school at which they spend the majority of their contract time. Employees who work an equal amount of time at more than one location will utilize the District letter grade. Employees who work at a District site versus a school site will use the District letter grade.

Student Data Rubric

	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
Teacher Rating	4	3	2	1

School Letter Grade	School Data	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
A	4	4	3.2	2.4	1.6
B	3	3.8	3.0	2.2	1.4
C	2	3.6	2.8	2.0	1.2
D and F	1	3.4	2.6	1.8	1.0

Sample Scenarios:

Employee A was rated Highly Effective at an A school. Employee A’s overall evaluation with the student data would be Highly Effective. Employee B was rated Developing at a B school. Employee B’s overall evaluation with the student data would be Developing. Employee C was rated Effective at a C school. Employee C’s overall evaluation with the student data would be Effective.

Employee A
 (eval) $4 \times .8 = 3.2$
 (data) $4 \times .2 = .8$
 Score 4.0
 Overall Rating:
 Highly Effective

Employee B
 (eval) $2 \times .8 = 1.6$
 (data) $3 \times .2 = .6$
 2.2
 Overall Rating:
 Developing

Employee C
 (eval) $3 \times .8 = 2.4$
 (data) $2 \times .2 = 0.4$
 2.8
 Overall Rating:
 Effective

Note:

- *Certified employees in their first three years of employment with the District who have earned a performance classification of “Developing” or higher on the performance evaluation system will receive 100% of the performance pay designated for certified employee performance.*
- *As a one-year grace period, continuing status certified employees reassigned/assigned to a different job duty or grade level or content area from the prior year and who have earned a performance classification of “Developing” or higher on the performance evaluation system, will receive 100% of the performance pay designated for certified employee performance*
- *Other continuing status certified employees earning a performance classification of “Developing” on the performance evaluation system will receive 70% of the performance pay designated for certified employee performance.*
- *Certified employees earning a performance classification of “Ineffective” on the performance evaluation system will receive 0% of the performance pay designated for certified employee performance.*

Teacher Evaluation Committee Members

Teachers

Kelly Berg	Dobson High School	2022 - 2024
Vangie Briceno	Lindbergh Elementary	2022 - 2023
Janet Kovach	Rhodes Junior High	2022 - 2024
Todd Livingston	Kino Junior High School	2022 - 2023
Courtney Teatro	Stevenson Elementary	2023 - 2024
Allison Travis	Mesa Center for Success	2022 - 2023
Janeen Wilkey	Skyline High School	2023 - 2024

Instructional Specialist

Lori Olberding	Teaching and Learning	2022 - 2023
----------------	-----------------------	-------------

Assistant Principals

Christopher Brunst	Fremont Junior High	2022 - 2023
Joshua Buckley	Jefferson and Wilson Elementary	2022 - 2023
Renee Clancy	Skyline High School	2023 - 2024
Cally Pursley	Summit Academy	2022 - 2023

Principals

Kacy S. Baxter	Franklin Accelerated Academy East Campus	2023 - 2024
Keiko Hasebe-Dilbeck	Kino Junior High	2022 - 2023
Jennifer Kunz	Zaharis Elementary	2022 - 2024
Michelle Mowery	Crismon Elementary	2023 - 2024
Steven Tannenbaum	Red Mountain High	2022 - 2023

Directors

Susan Jenni	Director of Human Resources, Certified	2022 - 2024
Chris Rossini	Director of Human Resources, Classified	2022 - 2023

Our Promise

Every student in Mesa Public Schools is known by name, served by strength and need, and graduates ready for college, career and community.

Our Vision

Unprecedented Excellence in Education

Our Mission

To develop a highly educated and productive community, one student at a time.

Our Core Values

In Mesa Public Schools:

- Each student is important.
- Learning is our focus and priority.
- Collaboration and innovation are indispensable.
- Sound fiscal stewardship is essential.
- Diversity increases our opportunities.
- Success is expected and celebrated.



Portrait of a Graduate

The essential attitudes and skills students need to graduate ready for college, career and community.



ESSENTIAL ATTITUDES

Ethical

- Acts with character
- Is of service to others
- Takes responsibility for one's words and actions

Inclusive

- Finds common ground
- Invites and seeks to understand the ideas of others
- Sees strength in differences

Resilient

- Adaptable
- Learns from mistakes
- Is willing to risk trying again

ESSENTIAL SKILLS

Collaborator

Learns from and contributes to the learning of others while working toward a common goal

Communicator

Listens and shares ideas clearly and effectively, keeping the audience and purpose in mind

Community Contributor

Brings people together and contributes to the community and world

Creative Thinker & Innovator

Uses curiosity and imagination to inspire new ideas or build upon existing ones

Critical Thinker & Problem Solver

Investigates, learns and sees more than one way to solve a problem

Mesa Public Schools Classroom Teacher Evaluation System

The Mesa Public Schools teacher evaluation system is a collaborative model leading to improved teaching performance and increased student academic achievement. All teachers who provide instruction to preschool through grade 12, or ungraded classes, or who teach in an environment other than a classroom setting and who maintain student attendance records for each instructional meeting will use the teacher evaluation system.

Based on the established rubric, the performance indicators of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing and Ineffective will be used to rate a classroom teacher’s performance as determined by evidence using the 22 components of the Charlotte Danielson Framework.

Teacher Evaluation Cycles

Probationary Teachers: Probationary teachers may include teachers new to the profession or new to Mesa Public Schools.		Continuing Teachers: Continuing teachers may include teachers in their fourth or more years with Mesa Public Schools.	
Code	Explanation	Code	Explanation
1P	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Year one of teaching in Mesa Public Schools. ● All probationary teachers receive two evaluations and will be scored in all 4 domains of the Charlotte Danielson rubric. 	1C, 2C, 3C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● All certified teachers will receive two formal observations. ● The second observation of a continuing teacher who is rated Effective or Highly Effective on the fall summative may be waived unless the teacher requests a second observation.
2P	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Year two of teaching in Mesa Public Schools. ● All probationary teachers receive two evaluations and will be scored in all 4 domains of the Charlotte Danielson rubric. 	1C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Teachers with this code will be evaluated in all 4 domains of the Charlotte Danielson rubric. ● MPS teachers immediately returning to teach in MPS upon retirement will be classified as 1C. ● 1C teachers will be coded as 2C the following year.
3P	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Year three of teaching in Mesa Public Schools. ● All probationary teachers receive two evaluations and will be scored in all 4 domains of the Charlotte Danielson rubric. 	2C, 3C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Teachers with this code will be evaluated in Domains 2 and 3 of the Charlotte Danielson rubric. ● 2C teachers will be coded as 3C the following year. ● 3C teachers will be coded as 1C the following year.

Notes:

- *If a continuing teacher earns a rating of Developing or Ineffective while being scored in only Domains 2 and 3, a full evaluation cycle will be required.*
- *A continuing status teacher who scores Ineffective on the final summative evaluation will move from continuing status to probationary status the following school year.*

Teaching Performance

Charlotte Danielson's Framework for Teaching, which will be used as the *Teaching Performance Evaluation* for each classroom teacher, is organized into four domains and 22 components. ([Appendix A](#)). Evidence for the domains of Classroom Environment and Instruction will be primarily collected through classroom observations. Evidence for the domains of Planning and Preparation and Professional Responsibilities will be provided by the teacher and gathered through the review of lesson plans, student work, communication logs, conversations about teaching practice, and other professional and instructional artifacts. Samples of evidence and artifacts are noted in [Appendix D](#).

The *Teaching Performance Evaluation* emphasizes which planning precedes the work in the classroom to allow for quality instruction to occur. It promotes opportunities for student engagement, collaborative thinking, and a rigorous student-centered learning environment. Teachers embrace professional standards which meet the academic needs of all students.

Some common themes which permeate the domains, components and elements of the *Teaching Performance Evaluation* include, but are not limited to: equity, cultural competency, high expectations for learning, appropriately differentiated instruction, and evidence of student voice in their learning.

The *Teaching Performance Evaluation* identifies areas of a teacher's responsibility (Domains 1 and 4) as well as provides a resource to document evidence which shows an impact on student growth (Domains 2 and 3).

The four domains include:

1. Planning and Preparation
2. Classroom Environment
3. Instruction
4. Professional Responsibilities

Evidence collected during the teaching performance cycle will address all 22 components of the Danielson Framework in Domains 1-4.

(Complete descriptions of the domains and components can be found in [Appendix B](#) and [Appendix C](#).)

Domain 1 and 4 Evidence (Artifacts)

- Collected by the teacher and shared with the evaluator prior to the end of the evaluation cycle (samples of evidence/artifacts are noted in [Appendix D](#))

Domain 2 and 3 Evidence (Performance)

- Collected by the evaluator primarily during the classroom observation(s)

Domains for Evaluation	Teacher Cycle Codes
Domains 1 - 4	1P, 2P, 3P, 1C
Domains 2 and 3 only	2C, 3C

[Teacher Observations](#)

According to ARS 15-537, teachers are required to have two observations. The second observation of a continuing teacher who is rated Effective or Highly Effective on the fall summative may be waived unless the teacher requests a second observation.

An observation shall not be conducted within two instructional days of Fall Break, Thanksgiving Break, Winter Break, and Spring Break. There must be a minimum of **60 calendar days** between formal observations.

[Probationary Status Teachers](#)

Probationary Status Teachers will be evaluated on Domains 1-4. A formal classroom observation (and additional classroom observations as needed) is required for both the Fall and the Spring Summative Teaching Performance Evaluations. The Teacher Self-Assessment Form will be completed by the teacher for both the Fall and Spring Teaching Performance Evaluation cycles. Probationary Status Teachers remain on the performance cycle for three years. At the completion of the third year, probationary teachers who have received an Effective or Highly Effective rating, will be placed on the Continuing Status Teaching Performance cycle at the beginning of the fourth year of teaching.

The Fall Summative is due prior to the end of the first semester. The Spring Summative is due prior to the last school day of April.

[Continuing Status Teachers](#)

Upon the completion of the fourth year with the district, a Continuing Status Teacher classified as Effective or Highly Effective will be evaluated in Domains 2 and 3 for the two subsequent school years of the three-year Teaching Performance Evaluation cycle. If a teacher earns a rating of Developing or Ineffective while being scored in only Domains 2 and 3, a full evaluation cycle will be required the following evaluation cycle. (See [Teacher Evaluation Cycles](#) Table on page 7.)

All certified teachers will receive two formal observations. The second observation of a continuing teacher who is rated Effective or Highly Effective on the fall summative may be waived unless the teacher requests a second observation.

If the second observation is waived, the teacher's Final Summative will be completed using the Fall Summative Evaluation scores and any additional evidence that was collected throughout the year.

If an evaluator requires a second observation, the evaluator will meet with the teacher by February 1 to provide evidence which supports having the second observation. Within 10 instructional days of this meeting, the evaluator will provide the teacher with an email summarizing the evidence supporting the need for a second observation. A teacher may request a second observation in writing by the second instructional Friday of the second semester.

The evaluator will determine if a continuing status teacher will have a scheduled or unscheduled formal observation. The evaluator may consult with the teacher.

The Fall Summative is due prior to the end of the first semester. The Spring Summative is due prior to the last school day of April.

At the completion of the three year cycle, a Continuing Status Teacher who earns a Highly Effective rating for three consecutive years may be placed on the Alternative Evaluation Cycle per A.R.S. §15-537.B.2. Any teacher earning a rating of Effective or Highly Effective will restart a full three-year evaluation cycle, being evaluated in Domains 1-4. At any time during the three-year sequence, evaluators have the discretion to place any teacher on the full evaluation, and teachers may request to be placed on the full evaluation.

Alternative Evaluation Cycle Teachers

In accordance with A.R.S. §15-537, a classroom teacher may be placed on the Alternative Performance Evaluation, known as the Alternative Evaluation Cycle (AEC), after three consecutive years of receiving a final summative rating of Highly Effective in the same district. Within the first four weeks of the school year, teachers rated as Highly Effective for three consecutive years must notify their evaluator in writing if they would prefer a full evaluation cycle rather than the expedited performance review. **Prior to the end of the first quarter of the school year**, the evaluator will inform teachers rated as Highly Effective for three consecutive years that they receive a formal evaluation rather than the expedited performance review. The default is the expedited performance review.

Prior to the end of the first quarter, the evaluator will complete at least one formal walk-through. A formal walk-through requires written feedback posted in the District's online evaluation system Formal Walk-Through Form. The evaluator will provide at least one additional formal walk-through prior to the last school day in April.

To change from AEC to Continuing Status:

Prior to the second Friday of May, the evaluator will complete a form in Perform verifying the teacher on the AEC continues to be Highly Effective and will remain on the AEC, or will recommend changing to Continuing Status for the following school year.

The evaluator must provide both verbally and in writing the reasons for the recommended change of process.

Pre and Post Observation Conferences

The pre conference is required for all Probationary Status Teachers, but may be optional for Continuing Status Teachers.

Evaluators will inform Continuing Status Teachers if they are required to have a pre conference.

During the pre conference, the teacher should be prepared to discuss either the questions outlined in the Pre Observation Conference Form or review a formal lesson plan provided by the teacher at the time of the scheduled pre conference. The evaluator may require one or both of these documents.

Within 10 business days after each formal observation, the evaluator must provide written feedback to the teacher. The evaluator *may* require the Post Observation Conference Form to be completed. If required, the form should be completed prior to the post observation conference.

Probationary and Continuing Status Teachers will complete a self-assessment after their formal observation(s).

Teachers will be evaluated in each of the 22 components based on the evidence collected from pre- and post observation conferences, formal and informal classroom observations, professional responsibilities, and the teacher's self-assessments.

**Note: Any communications and other information regarding interactions with students, parents, and co-workers may be considered as evidence by the evaluator, provided the classroom teacher is given an opportunity to comment on the information during a conference or other communication with the evaluator.*

Final Evaluation

If a second evaluation occurs, the results of the two evaluations will be used to complete a Final Evaluation. If a teacher receives unequal scores for the same component for both the Fall and Spring Evaluation, it is the evaluator's sole determination which score most accurately reflects the teacher's performance over the school year.

Appeal of Evaluation

A classroom teacher who disagrees with a Summative Evaluation may submit a written appeal to their supervisor within five working days after receipt of their Summative scores. The appeal must describe with specificity the alleged error or errors that are the basis for the appeal. Allegations of error regarding the evaluator's judgment of the teacher's performance during a formal or informal observation will not be considered in an appeal if the evaluator's perceptions of the teacher's performance with regard to specific elements are properly documented.

If an appeal is not granted by their supervisor, a classroom teacher may appeal to the Assistant Superintendent of Human Resources or designee within five working days of their evaluator's decision. The evaluator and/or Assistant Superintendent may decline to consider an appeal if the appeal is not timely. Likewise, the Assistant Superintendent may not consider an appeal if it is determined the evaluation will not affect the employee's eligibility for performance pay, or other form of compensation and will not affect the employee's employment for the subsequent school year. A response to the teacher's appeal shall occur within ten instructional days.

Performance Rating

After the review of all teaching performance evidence, the teacher will receive a Final Summative Teaching Performance Evaluation that will be used to determine the teacher's Performance Rating. Descriptions of the ratings of performance can be found in *Appendix E*. The ratings of performance as they relate to teaching performance are defined as follows:

Highly Effective

There is evidence of high levels of knowledge, implementation and integration of performance standards, along with evidence of leadership initiative and willingness to model and serve as a mentor for colleagues. This rating refers to professional teaching that innovatively involves all students in the learning process and creates a true community of learners. Teachers performing at this level are master teachers and leaders in the field, both inside and outside their classroom.

To be rated as Highly Effective, a teacher must have:

- 5 or more Highly Effective Ratings in Domains 2-3, AND
- Zero Ineffective Ratings, AND
- Zero Developing Ratings

Effective

There is evidence of increased knowledge, implementation and integration of performance standards, and clear proficiency and skill in the performance area. This rating refers to successful, professional teaching that is consistently at a high level. It is expected that most experienced teachers frequently perform at this level.

To be rated as Effective, a teacher must have:

- Zero Ineffective Ratings, AND
- No more than 2 Developing Ratings in Domains 2-3, AND
- No more than 3 total Developing Ratings

Developing

There is evidence of basic knowledge and implementation of performance standards. Integration of performance standards is not evident. This indicates that the teacher has the necessary knowledge and skills to be effective, but the application of those skills is inconsistent.

To be rated as Developing, a teacher must have:

- 1 or 2 Ineffective Ratings, OR
- 3 Developing Ratings in Domains 2-3, OR
- 4 or more total Developing Ratings

Ineffective

There is little or no knowledge and minimal implementation of performance standards. The teacher does not meet minimal performance standards and needs substantial improvement. This rating refers to teaching that does not convey an understanding of the concepts underlying the component. This level of performance is hindering learning or is doing harm in the classroom.

To be rated as Ineffective, a teacher must have:

- 3 or more Ineffective Ratings

Notes:

- *A classroom teacher who was rated Ineffective or who is in the Preliminary Notice of Inadequacy process, is not permitted to participate in the obligatory or voluntary transfer process. These teachers are required to stay at their current school. If the current school has extenuating circumstances, placement will be made by Human Resources.*
- *A classroom teacher who was rated Ineffective by their previous evaluator, may request to be evaluated by a different evaluator by September 15th of the current school year. The teacher must exercise this right by delivery of written notice to the Assistant Superintendent of Human Resources. Upon receipt of notice from the teacher, the Assistant Superintendent of Human Resources will assign responsibility for the teacher's evaluation to another evaluator.*
- *A continuing status teacher who scores Ineffective on the final summative evaluation will move from continuing status to probationary status the following school year.*
- *Certified employees in their first three years of employment with the District who have earned a performance classification of "Developing" or higher on the performance evaluation system will receive 100% of the performance pay designated for certified employee performance.*
- *As a one-year grace period, continuing status certified employees reassigned/assigned to a different job duty or grade level or content area from the prior year and who have earned a performance classification of "Developing" or higher on the performance evaluation system, will receive 100% of the performance pay designated for certified employee performance*
- *Other continuing status certified employees earning a performance classification of "Developing" on the performance evaluation system will receive 70% of the performance pay designated for certified employee performance.*
- *Certified employees earning a performance classification of "Ineffective" on the performance evaluation system will receive 0% of the performance pay designated for certified employee performance.*

Inadequate Classroom Performance

If at any time a classroom teacher is rated Ineffective on a Summative Teaching Performance Evaluation, the evaluator must contact the Director of Human Resources prior to the post conference for Inadequate Performance procedures.

If the teacher is rated Developing on the Final Summative Teaching Performance Evaluation and Developing for the fall of the following school year, the evaluator must contact Human Resources prior to the fall post conference to discuss Inadequate Performance procedures. The Preliminary Notice of Inadequacy includes a collaborative process between Human Resources, the evaluator, and the teacher to design a Professional Remediation Plan.

Once the teacher is rated Ineffective or Developing as stated above, the evaluator will contact the Director of Human Resources. The evaluator will review and discuss the summative assessment scores and evidence from the observation with the Director. Human Resources will then initiate the Preliminary Notice of Inadequacy process. The Director and the evaluator will schedule a meeting with the teacher where the documentation, including a Professional Remediation Plan, will be shared.

The plan will include the following:

- Goals, strategies, and action steps
- Training opportunities and other resources available to support the employee to correct the deficiencies
- Specific dates by which the employee must correct any deficiencies and demonstrate adequate classroom performance

The Professional Remediation Plan will remain in effect for not less than 45 instructional days.

Human Resources will select a second evaluator, who if possible, will be selected from the same campus. If there is a significant conflict or extenuating circumstance, a second evaluator may be selected from a different location.

At the conclusion of the 45 instructional days and after the two evaluators have completed independent evaluations, the Director will review both summative forms and supporting observational evidence to determine if the teacher demonstrated adequate performance. Then, a Results Conference will be scheduled.

Evaluators should notify Human Resources of a teacher who qualifies for Inadequate Classroom Performance process by the **last school day of November** for the fall semester or by the **first Friday in February** for the spring semester. The Superintendent or designee may issue a preliminary notice of inadequate classroom performance at any time after a teacher receives a rating of Ineffective as a result of the Fall or Final Summative Teaching Performance Evaluation. If the inadequate classroom performance process is not completed by the end of the school year in which it started, the process will continue in the following school year as necessary to allow the teacher the opportunity to complete the Professional Remediation Plan, correct inadequacies, and demonstrate adequate classroom performance.

Professional Learning

Educators New to Mesa Public Schools or Educators New to Teaching

The Professional Learning Department supports all educators new to Mesa Public Schools through Summer Orientation. Teachers new to teaching additionally receive a three-year induction program. This process provides training specifically designed for probationary teachers in Mesa. This professional learning series, adapted annually based on the needs of new teachers, is research-based and is aligned to state and national teaching standards and district initiatives. The induction program provides differentiated, relevant professional learning opportunities as well as classroom observations and coaching.

Teachers new to Mesa also receive differentiated support based upon years of experience and individual needs through professional learning opportunities. Teachers new to Mesa with less than three years of experience will receive coaching and support from their site specialists.

Professional Refinement Plan (Optional)

A Professional Refinement Plan is optional and determined necessary by the evaluator for every educator who receives a final rating of Highly Effective or Effective on the *End of Year Summative Form*. This may be established prior to the second Friday of May of the present school year. The evaluator, in collaboration with the teacher, may develop a plan to target an area for refinement. A teacher who is rated Developing or Ineffective is required to have a Professional Remediation Plan.

Professional Remediation Plan

A Professional Remediation Plan is required for teachers who are rated Developing or Ineffective on a summative form. The Remediation Plan will be developed in collaboration between the evaluator and the teacher to target the areas of deficiency. The evaluator may assign a remediation plan at any time during the school year as deemed appropriate to support the teacher.

The Professional Remediation Plan should include the following:

- Goals, strategies, and action steps
- Resources and support, such as training opportunities available for the employee
- Dates by which the employee must correct any deficiencies and demonstrate adequate classroom performance

Evaluation Timelines and Activities

Timeline	Activities
Within the first three weeks of the school year	<p><u><i>Classroom Teacher Evaluation Orientation</i></u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The evaluator will provide an overview of the classroom Teacher evaluation system to all classroom teachers.
Within the first four weeks of the school year	<p><u><i>Alternative Performance Evaluation (Alternative Evaluation Cycle)</i></u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Within the first four weeks of the school year, teachers rated as Highly Effective for three consecutive years must notify their evaluator in writing if they would prefer a full evaluation cycle rather than the expedited performance review. Prior to the end of the first quarter of the school year, the evaluator will inform teachers rated as Highly Effective for three consecutive years that they receive a formal evaluation rather than the expedited performance review. The default would be the expedited performance review. Prior to the end of the first quarter, the evaluator will complete at least one formal walk-through. A formal walk-through requires written feedback posted in the district's online evaluation system. The evaluator will provide at least one additional formal walk-through prior to the last school day in April. Prior to the end of the second semester, the evaluator will complete a form verifying the teacher on the expedited performance review remains rated as a Highly Effective teacher. If the evaluator recommends a change from AEC to the standard evaluation process, the evaluator must verbally <i>and</i> in writing provide reasons for the recommended change prior to the end of the semester.
Five instructional days prior to the end of the first semester	<p><u><i>Fall Summative Teaching Performance Evaluation</i></u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The evaluator will collect evidence for Domains 1 and 4 through the pre and post observation conference process. The evaluator will collect evidence for Domains 2 and 3 through informal observations and the first formal observation. The teacher will complete a self-assessment in the district's online evaluation system. The evaluator will complete the Fall Summative Teaching Performance Evaluation in the district's online evaluation system.
Prior to the last school day of April	<p><u><i>Spring and Final Summative Teaching Performance Evaluations</i></u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The evaluator will collect evidence for Domains 1 and 4 through the pre and post observation conference process. The evaluator will collect evidence for Domains 2 and 3 through informal observations and the second formal observation. The teacher will complete a self-assessment in the district's online evaluation system. The evaluator will complete the Spring and Final Summative Teaching Performance Evaluations for probationary teachers and continuing status teachers requiring a Spring Summative Teaching Performance Evaluation in the district's online evaluation system. The Final Summative Teaching Performance Evaluation scores will be used for teacher RIF profiles. The evaluator will provide at least one additional formal walk-through prior to the last school day in April.

<p>Prior to the second Friday of May in the present school year</p>	<p><u><i>Development of Refinement Plans (Optional)</i></u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Prior to the second Friday of May in the present school year, the evaluator, in collaboration with the teacher, may develop a plan to target an area for refinement. ● A Professional Refinement Plan may be established for a teacher who receives a final rating of Highly Effective or Effective on the Teaching Performance Profile and Rating. ● The professional refinement plan will be implemented throughout the next evaluation cycle.
<p>No timeline</p>	<p><u><i>Development of Remediation Plans</i></u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● A Professional Remediation Plan Form will be established for every teacher who receives a final rating of Developing or Ineffective on the Teaching Performance Profile and Rating Form or at any time at the discretion of the evaluator. The evaluator, in collaboration with the teacher, will develop a plan to target the area(s) of deficiency.
<p>Within two days of breaks</p>	<p>An observation shall not be conducted within two instructional days of Fall Break, Thanksgiving Break, Winter Break, and Spring Break.</p>

Forms

The following forms or plans can be found in the district's online evaluation system. Teachers can access their designated forms by logging in with their active directory credentials. Not all forms will be used for all teacher evaluation processes.

It is recommended that teachers contact their evaluator with any evaluation questions.

Forms available on the district's online evaluation system:

AEC Walk Through Form

Pre Observation Conference Form

Observation Feedback Form

Post Observation Conference Form

Self-Assessment Form

Summative Evaluation Form

Professional Refinement Plan

Professional Remediation Plan

Appendix

[Appendix A - Teaching Performance Evaluation \(2013 Danielson Framework for Teaching\)](#)

The 2013 Danielson Framework for Teaching can be accessed through a paper copy provided by your evaluator or by visiting the link <https://danielsongroup.org/framework>.

[Appendix B - Summary of Domains](#)

Domain 1: Planning and Preparation

Instructional planning includes a deep understanding of content and pedagogy and an understanding and appreciation of the students and what they bring to the educational experience. Understanding the content is not sufficient. The content must be transformed through instructional design into sequences of activities and exercises to make it accessible to students. All elements of the instructional design, including learning activities, materials, and strategies, must be appropriate to both the content and the students and aligned with larger instructional goals. In content and process, assessment techniques must also reflect the instructional outcomes and should serve to document student progress during and at the end of a teaching episode. In designing assessment strategies, teachers must consider their use for formative purposes. Assessments can provide diagnostic opportunities for students to demonstrate their level of understanding during the instructional sequence, while there is still time to make adjustments.

Domain 2: The Classroom Environment

Teachers create a learning environment through positive interpersonal interactions, efficient routines and procedures, clear and consistent standards of conduct, and a safe physical environment supports learning. In addition, the environment encourages students to take pride in their work and to assume responsibility for their learning. Students respond to the warmth and caring of teachers, their high expectations for achievement, and their commitment to students. Students feel safe with these teachers and know they can count on them to be fair and compassionate.

The components of Domain 2 are not associated with the learning of any particular content; instead, they set the stage for all learning. The teacher establishes a comfortable and respectful classroom environment, which cultivates a culture for learning and creates a safe place for risk-taking. The atmosphere is businesslike, with non-instructional routines and procedures handled efficiently; student behavior is cooperative and non-disruptive; and the physical environment is conducive to learning.

Domain 3: Interactions

Domain 3 contains the components that are at the heart of teaching. Teachers facilitate the engagement of students in learning, through the vision of students developing a complex understanding and participation in a community of learners. Students are engaged in meaningful work, which carries significance beyond the next test and is relevant to students' lives. Teachers who excel in Domain 3 have finely-honed instructional skills. Their work in the classroom is fluid and flexible. They can shift easily from one approach to another when the situation demands it. They seamlessly incorporate ideas and concepts from other parts of the curriculum into their explanations and activities. Their questions probe student thinking and serve to extend understanding. They are attentive to different students in the class and the degree to which they are thoughtfully engaged; they carefully monitor student understanding as they proceed through well-designed questions or activities; and make minor mid-course corrections as needed. Above all, they promote the emergence of self-directed learners fully engaged in the work at hand.

Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities

The components in Domain 4 are associated with being a true professional educator. They encompass the roles assumed outside of and in addition to those in the classroom with students. Students rarely observe these activities; parents and the larger community observe them only intermittently. However, the activities are critical to preserving and enhancing the profession. Domain 4 consists of a wide range of professional responsibilities, from self-reflection and professional growth, to participation in a professional community, to contributions made to the profession as a whole. The components also include interactions with the families of students, contacts with the larger community, and advocacy for students. Domain 4 captures the essence of professionalism by teachers. As a result of their skills in this domain, teachers are full members of the teaching profession and committed to its enhancement.

Appendix C - Domains, Components, and Elements of the Teaching Performance Evaluation

Domain 1: Planning and Preparation	Domain 2: The Classroom Environment
<p>Component 1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Knowledge of content and the structure of the discipline ● Knowledge of prerequisite relationships ● Knowledge of content-related pedagogy <p>Component 1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Knowledge of child and adolescent development ● Knowledge of the learning process ● Knowledge of students' skills, knowledge, and language proficiency ● Knowledge of students' interests and cultural heritage ● Knowledge of students' special needs <p>Component 1c: Setting Instructional Outcomes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Value, sequence, and alignment ● Clarity ● Balance ● Suitability for diverse learners <p>Component 1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Resources for classroom use ● Resources to extend content knowledge and pedagogy ● Resources for students <p>Component 1e: Designing Coherent Instruction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Learning activities ● Instructional materials and resources ● Instructional groups ● Lesson and unit structure <p>Component 1f: Designing Student Assessments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Congruence with instructional outcomes ● Criteria and standards ● Design of formative assessments ● Use for planning 	<p>Component 2a: Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Teacher interaction with students ● Student interactions with other students <p>Component 2b: Establishing a Culture for Learning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Importance of the content ● Expectations for learning and achievement ● Student pride in work <p>Component 2c: Managing Classroom Procedures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Management of instructional groups ● Management of transitions ● Management of materials and supplies ● Performance of non-instructional duties ● Supervision of volunteers and paraprofessionals <p>Component 2d: Managing Student Behavior</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Expectations ● Monitoring of student behavior ● Response to student misbehavior <p>Component 2e: Organizing Physical Space</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Safety and accessibility ● Arrangement of furniture and use of physical resources
Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities	Domain 3: Interactions
<p>Component 4a: Reflecting on Teaching</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Accuracy ● Use in future teaching <p>Component 4b: Maintaining Accurate Records</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Student completion of assignments ● Student progress in learning ● Non-Instructional records <p>Component 4c: Communicating with Families</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Information about the instructional program ● Information about individual students ● Engagement of families in the instructional program <p>Component 4d: Participating in a Professional Community</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Relationships with colleagues ● Involvement in a culture of professional inquiry ● Service to the school ● Participation in school and district projects <p>Component 4e: Growing and Developing Professionally</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Enhancement of content knowledge and pedagogical skill ● Receptivity to feedback from colleagues ● Service to profession <p>Component 4f: Showing Professionalism</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Integrity and ethical conduct ● Service to students ● Advocacy ● Decision making ● Compliance with school and district regulations 	<p>Component 3a: Communicating with Students</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Expectations for learning ● Directions and procedures ● Explanations of content ● Use of oral and written language <p>Component 3b: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Quality of questions ● Discussion techniques ● Student participation <p>Component 3c: Engaging Students in Learning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Activities and assignments ● Grouping of students ● Instructional materials and resources ● Structure and pacing <p>Component 3d: Using Assessment in Instruction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Assessment criteria ● Monitoring of student learning ● Feedback to students ● Student self-assessment and monitoring of progress <p>Component 3e: Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Lesson adjustment ● Response to students ● Persistence

Appendix D - Examples of Evidence and Artifacts

Artifacts are indicators of professional growth. They are not intended to be a portfolio of completed work. They are meant to support a teacher's instructional improvement and progress toward his/her goals. Artifacts are not put into the personnel file. They are for dialogue purposes only. The artifacts on the following list are intended as examples. There is no expectation that these specific artifacts will be provided to the evaluator. Teachers may wish to provide evaluators with artifacts that are not on this list.

Note: Some artifacts, although listed in only one domain, may be evidence of practice in other domains as well.

Domain 1: Planning and Preparation

Lesson plans	Notes on collaboration with grade level teams
Unit plans	Lesson plans and logs of meetings involve collaborating with district specialists
Discipline plans	Examples of collaboration with other practitioners
Differentiation plans	List of professional books, resources and materials used to create lesson plan
Assessment plan for student achievement	Photographs of parents and other professionals volunteering and/or presenting in the classroom
Substitute folder	Lists of available resources
Bulletin boards connected to units	Electronic bookmarks of educational sites/resources used
Student profiles	Google docs between classroom teachers and specialists with collaborative lesson plans
Student work samples	Examples of grouping based on pretests
Student portfolios	Examples of visual aids
Teaching artifacts such as primary sources	Examples of educational games to reinforce skills
Student and parent surveys	Examples of re-teaching with Google websites, math videos
Notes from workshops, conferences, professional texts and classes	Examples of student-designed rubrics
Curriculum Night presentation/handouts	Examples of varied assessment for large units
Examples of informal time with students	Examples of formative assessments for basic skills and clear articulation of how they are used to plan
Student conferences/check-ins notations	Examples of pretests/entrance slips/exit slips
Charts with data collected from student files, test data, etc.	Examples of Topic-Do-LOT at beginning of lesson
Examples of getting to know students: interest inventories, etc.	Examples of daily essential questions, goals, and objectives
Examples of anecdotal records on students	Examples of computer usage and technology
Examples of modifications of assessments, assignments, lessons for SPED, ELL, Gifted (recognize IEPs and 504 Plans)	
Examples of pre and post assessments	
Rubric samples and important concepts reflected in lesson plans	
Examples of aligning special service to curriculum	
Examples of differentiating assignment	

Domain 2: The Classroom Environment

Appropriate use of classroom technology	Examples of student self-assessment
Classroom observations	A collection of content specific resources (books, references, etc.)
Problem solving notebook interviews	Examples of learning stations (e.g. Writers' Workshop)
Behavior log	Content related, relevant artifacts on walls
Homework plan	Digital Citizenship guidelines
Incentive and reward plans	Photos of organizational areas agenda and minutes of training for assistants
Unit bulletin boards	Documentation and use of transition strategies (music, saying, clapping, lights, etc.)
Seating chart	Plans for instructional assistants and volunteers
Substitute plan folder	Individual student schedules
Physical layout of room	Student checklists (for routines)
Diagram and photographs of room	Examples of time management support (timers, hand signals, lights, etc.)
Daily, weekly routine, schedules	Notes on strategies for students
Examples of classroom management plan	Documentation of behavior intervention
Evidence of character lessons, posters, and charts	Examples of positive intervention strategies and recognitions (i.e. marble jar, class and individual rewards, tally marks, etc.)
Anecdotal records of student sharing	Student work displayed (in classroom, halls)
Notes on behavioral intervention	Examples of written objective for unit and lesson
Examples of cooperative group activities	Examples of content relevant posters
Modeling appropriate classroom behavior	
Examples of student rubrics (so students are aware of expected outcomes)	
Examples of work completed checklist	
Examples of positive feedback to and from students (certificates, notes)	

Domain 3: Interactions

Student achievement data	Google Docs comments
Classroom observations	Examples of blogging, podcasting through practitioner's website
Student work samples	Examples of syllabus with expectations
Units of study	Examples of assignment guides
Technology links	Student answers/participation recorded
Video and audio records of student performance	Pictures or videos of students utilizing a variety of materials/resources (SmartBoards, computers, leveled books, math games, etc.)
Extension and enrichment activities	Examples of graphic organizers
Modifications	Creation of leveled groups based on pre and post assessment
Examples of written feedback	Video camera use
Differentiation samples	Conferencing notes
Copies of quizzes, tests, assignments	Class meeting notes
Examples of journaling and autobiographies	Videos of instruction/interactions with student
Examples of student projects	
Examples of objectives and goals, clear expectations	

Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities

Log of parent contacts	Binders/folders of used materials
Newsletters	Notes/information from committee meetings, professional journals, team meetings/grade level meetings
Published articles	Handouts and notations on continued professional development (conferences, workshops, conventions)
Parent surveys	Examples of observations of other practitioners (via video or in person)
Voice mail and email logs	List of useful websites
Reflection sheets and journals	Participation log of activities in professional organizations
Notes on lesson reflections and ideas for improvement	Log of tutorials used for technology or other educational purposes
Parent letters and emails	Notes from site visits to other institutions
Teacher certification classes, workshops	Notes from working collaboratively with colleagues
District, building committees	Examples of participation in after school activities (i.e. Bingo Night)
Professional Learning documentation	Examples of professionalism based on participation with education association
Coursework	Noted parent feedback based on teacher and student performance
Community service	Examples of providing extra support to students outside of assigned school hours
National Board Accreditation	Examples of advocacy with attendance at PTO/PTA/SIAC, board meeting, student events to present or support programming
A list of conferences and workshops attended	Examples of attending student activities outside the school day
Presentations	
Journals	
Observations	
Video recordings	
Transcripts	
Examples of specific report card comments	
Examples of progress monitoring data and plans changed based on progress	
Examples of attendance, grades, conference forms, report cards, anecdotal records, parent contacts logs, portfolios, etc.	
Examples of promptness in meeting deadlines (i.e. IEP), timelines, meeting prep	

Acknowledgements

This handbook was created with input and support from:

- Arizona Revised Statutes §15-203(A)(38), §15-537, §15-538
- Arizona State Board of Education, Arizona Framework for Measuring Educator Effectiveness
- Charlotte Danielson, Enhancing Professional Practice: A Framework for Teaching (2nd Edition)
- Mesa Public Schools Educators
- Washington Elementary School District
- Mesa Public Schools Evaluation Tool 2022-2023 and 2023-2024