# GUIDE FOR TEACHER EVALUATION PILOT

2011 - 2012

USD 259 Wichita Public Schools



Revised July 2011

#### Wichita Public Schools Pilot

# **Table of Contents**

		Page
I.	Introduction	0
	History of the Evaluation Process	3
	Concept Statement	3
	Policy of Personnel Evaluation (KS Statute 72-9003)	4 4
	Probationary Teacher	4
	Tenure Teacher	4
II.	A Framework for Teaching	
	Enhancing Professional Practice	
	(A Framework for Teaching, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Edition by Charlotte Danielson)	5
	Components of Professional Practice	
	(Danielson's 4 Domains)	5
	Domain 1: Planning and Preparation	6
	Sample Sources of Information.	6
	Domain 2: The Classroom Environment.	7
	Sample Sources of Information	7
	Domain 3: Instruction	8
	Sample Sources of Information	8
	Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities	9
	Sample Sources of Information	9
III.	Implementation Plan	
	Teacher Evaluation System (Implementation Plan)	10
	Year 1 Participants – 2009-2010	11
	Year 2 Participants – 2010–2011	11
	Year 3 Participants – 2011–2012	11
	Year 4 Participants – 2012-2013.	12
	Year 5 Participants – 2013-2014.	12
	Year 6 Participants – 2014-2015	12
IV.	<b>Teacher Evaluation System</b> (Professional Development Plan)	13
	Professional Development Plan Year 1	14
	Professional Development Plan Years 2-6	14
V.	Probationary Teacher - Year 1 (New to the District)	15
	Probationary Teacher - Year 2-3	17
	Tenured Teacher	19
	Summative Evaluation Ratings	21
VI.	Domains 1-4	22-25
VII.	Forms for Teachers T1 thru T11	26-38
1/11	Forms for Principals	20.45

#### **History of the Evaluation Process**

With the agreement between UTW and the Board of Education at the conclusion of negotiations for the 2008-2009 Teacher Employment Agreement, a Memo of Understanding was written, which directed the appointment of a committee made up of United Teachers of Wichita (UTW) and administration to develop a teacher evaluation tool based upon Charlotte Danielson's model. A committee of fourteen (14) district employees was established to consider different adaptations of Danielson's model. The committee established a concept statement and defined criteria to be used to develop a model for Wichita Public Schools (WPS) and outlines the tasks and the criteria to be used for the Wichita Model.

#### **Concept Statement**

Our task is twofold: first, to develop and recommend an evaluation tool for teachers\* that consistently and accurately assesses the quality of a teacher's performance in a manner that encourages significant professional growth, and second, to ensure this consistency and accuracy by providing adequate training in the evaluation process and insisting on high levels of accountability for all stakeholders.

#### Specifically, this evaluation tool will:

- > Improve/support quality instruction as defined by the Danielson model,
- > Efficiently and effectively document performance,
- > Establish trust through open, honest, and meaningful communication,
- > Encourage self-reflection,
- > Provide adequate resources, and
- > Be easily adapted to different job descriptions,

So that as a district, we strengthen professional compliance with state statute, improve quality instruction for students, strengthen teaching as a profession, and celebrate student achievement and learning.

\*Teachers shall refer to all positions covered under the Teachers Employment Agreement (TEA).

#### Kansas Statute 72-9003

#### **Policy of Personnel Evaluation**

- Figure 2. "Every employee" in the first two consecutive school years of employment shall be evaluated at least one time per semester by not later than the 60th school day of the semester."
- > "During the third and fourth years of employment, every employee shall be evaluated at least one time each school year by not later than February 15. After the fourth year of employment, every employee shall be evaluated at least once in every three years not later than February 15 of the school year in which the employee is evaluated."

\*Employee is defined as all licensed employees of school district and of nonpublic schools and all instructional and administrative employees of area vocations-technical schools.

#### **Probationary Teacher**

A probationary teacher is defined as:

- One who is within their first three consecutive years of teaching in Wichita Public Schools; or
- ➤ Has achieved tenure in another Kansas school district and is within their first two years of teaching in Wichita Public Schools.

#### **Tenure Teacher**

A tenure teacher is defined as:

- One who has completed three consecutive years of teaching in Wichita Public Schools and was offered and accepted a contract for the fourth year of teaching; or
- ➤ Has achieved tenure in another Kansas School district, completed two consecutive years of teaching in Wichita Public Schools and officer and accepted a contract for a third year of teaching.
- > Teachers who have achieved tenure status in USD259 and have been rehired.

# **Enhancing Professional Practice**

#### A Framework for Teaching, 2nd Edition

By: Charlotte Danielson

Charlotte Danielson's work, <u>Enhancing Professional Practice</u>: A <u>Framework for Teaching, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition</u>, is the conceptual basis of the Wichita Public Schools Evaluation System. This framework:

- Maximizes student learning and clarifies professional responsibilities;
- Provides common language for professional conversation;
- Provides clear expectations;
- > Parallels district improvement initiatives based on research;
- ➤ Contains four (4) Domains with specific components each of which consists of multiple elements, organizes teacher assessment, self-assessments, and reflection with rubrics that define degrees of proficiency.

# \*Components of Professional Practice Danielson's 4 Domains

- 1. Planning and Preparation
- 2. The Classroom Environment
- 3. Instruction/Delivery of Service
- 4. Professional Responsibilities

<sup>\*</sup>Source: Danielson, C (1996). Enhancing professional practice: A framework for teaching. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

#### **Domain 1: Planning and Preparation**

Domain 1 (Planning and Preparation) includes comprehensive understanding of the content to be taught, knowledge of the students' backgrounds, and designing instruction and assessment. Its components are:

- 1a. Demonstrating knowledge of content and pedagogy
- 1b. Demonstrating knowledge of students
- 1c. Selecting instructional goals
- 1d. Demonstrating knowledge of resources
- 1e. Designing coherent instruction
- 1f. Assessing student learning

#### Sample Sources of Information: Domain 1:

- > Sample lessons plans and/or sample unit plans
- > Goals for the unit
- > Interest surveys
- ➤ Learning style or Multiple Intelligence Survey
- Parent surveys
- > Examples of resources being used for the unit/lesson
- > Description of activities to be used and alignment to instruction
- > Description of strategies to be used to construct classroom environment
- Description of strategies to be used to instruct students with diverse needs
- ➤ Formative and summative assessments to be used
- > Results of using classroom, school wide, or district assessments
- > Disaggregating results of student performance on formative or classroom assessments
- > Results of curricula-based assessments

#### **Domain 2: The Classroom Environment**

Domain 2 (The Classroom Environment) addresses the teacher's skill in establishing an environment conducive to learning, including both the physical and interpersonal aspects of the environment. Its components are:

- 2a. Creating an environment of respect and rapport
- 2b. Establishing a culture for learning
- 2c. Managing classroom procedures
- 2d. Managing student behavior
- 2e. Organizing physical space

#### Sample Sources of Information: Domain 2

- > Copies of documents used to establish management procedures
- Diagrams/photos/videos of classroom
- > Expectations for keeping students safe
- Documents indicating expectations and consequences for behavior
- ➤ Documents sent home to parents about expectations or procedures
- Seating charts
- > Class schedules
- Data on Office Referrals
- > Data on Behavioral Interventions Plans
- > Student Climate Survey Results

#### **Domain 3: Instruction**

Domain 3 (Instruction) is concerned with the teacher's skill in engaging students in learning the content, and includes the wide range of instructional strategies that enable students to learn. Its components are:

- 3a. Communicating clearly and accurately
- 3b. Using questioning and discussion techniques
- 3c. Engaging students in learning
- 3d. Providing feedback to students
- 3e. Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness

#### Sample Sources of Information: Domain 3

- > Examples of materials used during instruction
- > Examples of student work
- > Examples of written feedback provided to students during instruction
- Copies of assessments used during the instructional period
- Scoring guides used to assess student work
- > Examples of homework assignments
- ➤ Video and audio of small and large group instruction
- Photographs of student-generated work
- > Disaggregating results of student performance on classroom, formative, or summative assessments
- > Results of curricula-based assessments
- > Examples of high quality teacher questions

# **Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities**

Domain 4 (Professional Responsibilities) addresses a teacher's additional professional responsibilities, including self-assessment and reflection, communication with parents, participating in ongoing professional development, and contributing to the school and district environment. Its components are:

- 4a. Reflecting on teaching
- 4b. Maintaining accurate records
- 4c. Communicating with families
- 4d. Contributing to the school and district
- 4e. Growing and developing professionally
- 4f. Showing professionalism

#### Sample Sources of Information: Domain 4

- > Interviews, reflection forms
- > Attendance records, field trip logs
- > Phone logs, letters to parents, back to school night handouts, parent survey
- ➤ Logs of communication with families
- Documents sent home to families
- ➤ Samples of e-mail communication
- ➤ Website that provides information about the classroom or homework
- > Documents that demonstrate that parents are encouraged to actively assist their child's education
- ➤ Logs of Professional Activities, goals for improvement of practice
- > Copies of conference programs attended or at which presented

#### Teacher Evaluation System Implementation Plan

Year 1: 2009-2010		
What	Who	
Modified Peer Consultant forms	Volunteer schools with	
and process	voluntary teachers	
Professional Growth Plan (PGP)	Volunteer schools with	
Professional Growth Flan (FGF)	voluntary teachers	
Pilot full evaluation system	Volunteer schools with	
	voluntary teachers	



Year 2: 2010-2011		
What	Who	
Modified Peer Consultant process & self-	All new teachers to	
assessment	the district	
Professional Growth Plan (PGP) & self-	All employees	
assessment	All elliployees	
Pilot full evaluation system	All probationary	
	teachers	



Year 6: 2014-2015		
What	Who	
Modified Peer Consultant forms	All new teachers to	
and process	the district	
Professional Growth Plan (PGP) &	All employees	
self-assessment	All elliployees	
Pilot full evaluation system	All probationary	
	teachers, plus up to	
	34% of tenured	
	teachers	
Formative Assessment	Years 4 and 5 Pilot	
	Tenured Teachers	

The Work of Wichita Public Schools is to empower all students with 21<sup>st</sup> century skills and knowledge necessary for success by providing a coherent, rigorous, safe and nurturing, culturally responsive and

Year 3: 2011-2012		
What	Who	
Modified Peer Consultant forms &	All new teachers to the	
self assessment	district	
Professional Growth Plan (PGP) &	All employees	
self-assessment	All elliployees	
	All probationary	
Pilot full evaluation system	teachers plus tenured	
	teachers Year 4	



Year 5: 2013-2014		
What	Who	
Modified Peer Consultant forms	All new teachers to the	
and process	district	
Professional Growth Plan (PGP) &	All employees	
self-assessment	/ cp.c / ccc	
	All probationary	
Pilot full evaluation system	teachers, plus up to 33%	
	of tenured teachers	
Formative Assessment	Years 3 and 4 Pilot	
Formative Assessment	Tenured Teachers	



Year 4: 2012-2013		
What	Who	
Modified Peer Consultant forms	All new teachers to the	
and process	district	
Professional Growth Plan (PGP) &	All employees	
self-assessment	All elliployees	
	All probationary teachers,	
Pilot full evaluation system	plus up to 33% of tenured	
	teachers	
Formative Assessment	Year 3 Pilot	
	Tenured Teachers	

#### **Implementation Plan**

#### Year 1 Participants – 2009-2010

- ➤ During the first year, volunteer pilot schools will encourage a sample of voluntary teachers to participate in this Teacher Evaluation Pilot Program. If the volunteer teacher is new to the district, the modified peer consultant process would be utilized.
- > For this year only, the pilot evaluation document will remain in the building/principal file.

#### Year 2 Participants - 2010-2011

- ➤ All new teachers to the district who are not assigned a peer consultant will utilize the modified peer consultant process.
- $\triangleright$  All probationary teachers in their 2<sup>nd</sup> and/or 3<sup>rd</sup> year in the district will participate in the full evaluation system.
- ➤ All employees will complete the Professional Growth Plan based on Danielson's Framework for Teaching and the self assessment component (pre-post).
- > The teacher evaluation document will be placed in the employees' official personnel file in Human Resources.

#### Year 3 Participants – 2011-2012

- ➤ All new teachers to the district who are not assigned a peer consultant will utilize the modified peer consultant process.
- ➤ Tenured staff Year 4 (first year of tenure status) from each building plus those teachers new to the district in 2009-2010, 2010-2011.
- ➤ All employees will complete the Professional Growth Plan based on Danielson's Framework for Teaching and the self assessment component (pre-post).
- > The teacher evaluation document will be placed in the employees' official personnel file in Human Resources.

#### Year 4 Participants – 2012-2013

- ➤ All new teachers to the district who are not assigned a peer consultant will utilize the modified peer consultant process.
- ➤ Tenured teachers who participated in Year 3 pilot (excluding probationary teachers) will move to the Formative Assessment.
- ➤ Random selection of approximately 33% of tenured staff from each building plus those teachers new to the district in 2009-2010, 2010-2011, 2011-2012 will participate in the full evaluation system.
- ➤ All employees will complete the Professional Growth Plan based on Danielson's Framework for Teaching and the self assessment component (pre-post).
- ➤ The teacher evaluation document will be placed in the employees' official personnel file in Human Resources.

# Year 5 Participants - 2013-2014

- ➤ All new teachers to the district who are not assigned a peer consultant will utilize the modified peer consultant process.
- ➤ Tenured teachers who participated in Year 4 will move to the Formative Assessment.
- ➤ Random selection of approximately 33% of tenured staff from each building plus those teachers new to the district in 2010-2011, 2011-2012, and 2012-2013 will participate in the full evaluation system.
- ➤ All employees will complete the Professional Growth Plan based on Danielson's Framework for Teaching and the self assessment component (pre-post).
- > The teacher evaluation document will be placed in the employees' official personnel file in Human Resources.

# Year 6 Participants – 2014-2015

➤ All teachers who have not previously participated in the pilot from each building plus those teachers new to the district in 2011-2012, 2012-2013, and 2013-2014 will participate in the full evaluation system.

# **Teacher Evaluation System**

Professional Development Plan

Year 1: 2009-2010		
What	Who	
Overview of Teacher Evaluation Model	Building Principals	
Danielson's book:	Ordered copies for school libraries and	
Enhancing Professional Practice: A Framework for Teaching, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Edition	UTW library	
Receive Danielson and McGreal's book,	Ordered copies for school libraries and	
Teacher Evaluation: Tools to enhance Professional Practice	UTW library	
Intense, Specialized Professional Development on the Model	Volunteer Administrators and Teachers	



Year 2: 2010-2011		
What	Who	
Professional Development on the following components of the Model:	All Teachers and Building Administrators	
Goal Setting, Self-Reflection, and Professional Growth	(Teacher Report Week)	
Overview of Teacher Evaluation Model as a part of New Staff Orientation	All New Teachers to the District	
Overview of Teacher Evaluation	All Administrators and Teachers	
Intense, Specialized Professional Development on the Model	Building Administrators and Probationary	
	Teachers	



Years 3, 4, 5, and 6: 2011-2015		
What	Who	
Differentiated Professional Development based on the "phase in" of the model within each building	All Teachers and Building Administrators	
Overview of Teacher Evaluation Model as a part of New Staff Orientation	All New Teachers to the District	
Intense, Specialized Professional Development on the Model	Building administrators, probationary teachers, and identified tenured staff.	



#### Professional Development Plan Year 1

- > Building Principals will receive an overview of the teacher evaluation model.
- ➤ Volunteer teachers and administrators will receive an overview of the teacher evaluation model, which will include forms, procedures, timeline, and self-evaluation form. This information will also be posted on the Portal.
- ➤ Copies of Enhancing Professional Practice: A Framework For Teaching, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, by Charlotte Danielson will be ordered for school libraries and UTW library.
- Copies of <u>Teacher Evaluation</u>: <u>To Enhance Professional Practice</u> by Charlotte Danielson and Thomas L. McGreal will be ordered for school libraries and UTW library.
- ➤ Intense and specialized training for volunteered leadership and staff (It should be noted that staff receive the same intense support as administration). (Emphasis has been placed on the importance of administration and staff participating in these sessions together.)

#### **Professional Development Plan Years 2-6**

#### <u>Year 2</u>

- ➤ All teachers and administrators will receive professional development which will include goal setting, self reflection and professional growth components of the teacher evaluation model.
- > New teachers to the district will receive an overview as a component of New Teacher Orientation.
- ➤ Intense and specialized training for building administrators and teacher participants.

#### <u>Year 3, 4, 5, and 6</u>

- ➤ All teachers and administrators will receive professional development which will vary by the "phase-in" of staff within each building.
- > New teachers to the district will receive an overview as a component of New Teacher Orientation.

# PROBATIONARY TEACHER - YEAR 1 NEW TO THE DISTRICT Without a Peer Consultant

- ➤ The teacher will complete and submit to their principal the *Teacher Self Assessment Evaluation* Summative form (T1) along with the *Professional Growth Plan (PGP)* (T2) per date established by district administration (traditionally this date has been September 30).
- ➤ The principal will make at least 2 formal classroom observations. A formal observation is defined as at least 30 minutes in length.
  - The first observation will be announced and the second observation will be unannounced.
  - At least 24 hours prior to the scheduled announced observation, the teacher will submit to the principal the Pre-Observation Form (T3).
  - The principal will utilize Form (A1) *Classroom Observation Record* during the formal observation.
  - The teacher is required to complete and submit *Observation Reflection Form* (T4) no later than each Post-Observation Conference.
  - The first observation must be conducted prior to the 60<sup>th</sup> school day of the first semester. The *New Teacher Mid-Term Report* (T5) must be completed by the teacher prior to the Post Observation Conference. The *Principal's New Teacher Mid-Term Report* (A2) must be completed by the principal. Both reports will be discussed at the Post Observation Conference.
  - The Post-Observation Conference will be held no later than one week after the formal observation.
  - The second observation is unannounced and must be conducted prior to the 60<sup>th</sup> day of the second semester.

- The *Pre-Observation Form* (T3) is not required for the second observation as this observation is unannounced. However the *Observation Reflection Form* (T4) is due no later than the Post-Observation Conference.
- The Post-Observation Conference will be held no later than one week after the formal observation.
- The *New Teacher Final Report* (T6) must be completed by the teacher prior to the Post Observation Conference. This report along with the completed Teacher Self Assessment Evaluation form (T1) will be discussed at the Post Observation Conference.
- The *Principal's New Teacher Final Report* (A3) must be completed by the principal and will be discussed at the Post Observation Conference.
- A copy of the Principal's New Teacher Final Report will be sent to HR prior to April 1 of each year. (Optional: Teacher may request to submit their self assessment along with the Principal's final report.

#### PROBATIONARY TEACHER - YEAR 2 - 3

- ➤ The teacher will complete and submit to their principal the *Teacher Self Assessment Evaluation* Summative form (T1) along with the *Professional Growth Plan (PGP)* (T2) per date established by district administration (traditionally this date has been September 30).
- > The principal will make at least 2 formal classroom observations. A formal observation is defined as at least 30 minutes in length.
  - The first observation will be announced and the second observation will be unannounced.
  - At least 24 hours prior to the scheduled observation, the teacher will submit to the principal the Pre-Observation Form (T3).
  - The principal will utilize Form (A1) *Classroom Observation Record* during the formal observation.
  - The teacher is required to complete and submit *Observation Reflection Form* (T4) no later than each Post-Observation Conference.
    - The Post-Observation Conference will be held no later than one week after the formal observation.
    - The second observation is unannounced and must be conducted prior to the 60<sup>th</sup> day of the second semester.
    - The *Pre-Observation Form* (T3) is not required for the second observation as this observation is unannounced. However the *Observation Reflection Form* (T4) is due no later than the Post-Observation Conference.
    - The Post-Observation Conference will be held no later than one week after the formal observation.
    - The teacher will complete and bring the *Observation Reflection Form* (T4) to the Post Observation Conference.

- At the Summative Evaluation Conference, the *Supervisor's Summative* Assessment of Employee (Form A4), which covers all Domains, is discussed with the teacher. The teacher will bring the *Teacher Self* Assessment Evaluation (T1) form to this conference.
- ➤ The Summative Assessment of Employee form is submitted to HR prior to April 1 for Year 2 Teachers, and by February 15 for Year 3 Teachers.
- > The Teacher has the option to submit the *Teacher Self Assessment Evaluation* form along with the *Supervisor's Summative Assessment*.
- ➤ During this evaluation process, it is the option of the Teacher to use forms T7, T8, T9, T10, and/or T11.

#### **Tenured Teacher**

- ➤ Each year, the teacher will complete and submit to their principal the *Teacher Self Assessment Evaluation* form (either Summative or Formative dependent upon their evaluation schedule) (T1) along with the *Professional Growth Plan (PGP)* (T2) per date established by district administration (traditionally this date has been September 30).
- ➤ The principal will make at least one (1) formal announced classroom observation in year 4 (first year of tenure status). A formal observation is defined as at least 30 minutes in length.
- ➤ Thereafter, the principal will make at least 1 formal announced classroom observation every third year. A formal observation is defined as at least 30 minutes in length.
  - At least 24 hours prior to the scheduled observation, the teacher will submit to the principal the *Pre-Observation Form* (T3).
  - The principal will utilize Form (A1) *Classroom Observation Record* during the formal observation.
  - The teacher is required to complete and submit *Observation Reflection Form* (T4) no later than each Post-Observation Conference.
  - The Post-Observation Conference will be held no later than one week after the formal observation.
  - At the Formal Observation Conference, the teacher will complete and bring to this conference the *Observation Reflection Form* (T4) along with the *Teacher Self Assessment Evaluation* (T1) form.
  - A *Supervisor's Summative Assessment of Employee* (Form A4) for is due covering all Domains and is submitted to HR prior to February 15.

- > The Teacher has the option to submit the *Teacher Self Assessment Evaluation* form along with the *Supervisor's Summative Assessment*.
- ➤ During this evaluation process, it is the option of the Teacher to use forms T7, T8, T9, T10, and/or T11.
- ➤ If the tenured teacher is not within the Summative Evaluation year, the year is considered a Formative Year. During these two years, the teacher will complete and submit to their principal the *Teacher Self Assessment Evaluation* form Formative (T1) along with the *Professional Growth Plan (PGP)* (T2) per date established by district administration (traditionally this date has been September 30).
- ➤ Additionally, the teacher and principal will meet prior to April 1, to discuss the completed Teacher Evaluation Self-Assessment form (T1) results.

# **Summative Evaluation Ratings**

# Distinguished

Evidence of high levels of knowledge, implementation and integration of performance standards along with evidence of leadership initiative and willingness to model and serve as a mentor for colleagues.

#### > Proficient

Evidence of increased knowledge, implementation and integration of performance standards. Evidence of a clear proficiency and skill in the performance area.

# **►** <u>Basic</u>

Evidence of basic knowledge and implementation of performance standards. Integration of performance standards are not evident. Teacher is making progress towards proficiency.

#### **►** <u>Unsatisfactory</u>

Little or no knowledge and minimal implementation of performance standards. Does not meet minimal performance standards and needs substantial improvement.